The Great Grid Upgrade

Sea Link

Sea Link

Volume 6: Environmental Statement

Document: 6.2.4.11
Part 4 Marine
Chapter 11
Inter-Project Cumulative Effects

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020026

Version: B November 2025

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(a)



Page intentionally blank

Contents

11.	inter-Project Cumulative Effects	
11.1	Introduction	1
11.2	Assessment	2
11.3		
	Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	231
11.4	Summary of Total Cumulative Effects	248
11.5	Overall summary	252
11.6	References	253
	Table of Tables	
	Table 11.1 Study areas for environmental topics	3
	Table 11.2 Major developments 'Short List' to be considered in the Inter-Project Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)	4
	Table 11.3 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Sizewell C Nuclear Power Pl	
	Table 11.4 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - NeuConnect	28
	Table 11.5 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - GridLink Interconnector Table 11.6 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - North Falls Offshore Windfar	43 m 63
	Table 11.7 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia ONE North Offsl	
	Windfarm	80
	Table 11.8 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia TWO Offshore	0.0
	Windfarm Table 11.9 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia THREE Offshore	, 86
	Windfarm	98
	Table 11.10 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Nautilus Offshore Interconn	
	T. I.I. 44 44 M. I	110
	Table 11.11 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	121
	Table 11.12 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Lionlink Offshore Interconn	
		132
	Table 11.13 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Hanson Aggregate Marine	
	Area 528/2 Table 11.14 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – Nemo Link	141 149
	Table 11.15 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – Nemo Link Table 11.15 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – Thanet Offshore Windfarm	
	Table 11.16 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – London Array Offshore	107
	Windfarm	169
	Table 11.17 Physical Environment CEA	179
	Table 11.18 Benthic Ecology CEA	182
	Table 11.19 Fish and Shellfish CEA	192
	Table 11.20 Marine Mammals CEA Table 11.21 Marine Ornithology CEA	204 207
	Table 11.22 Marine Ornitrology CEA	208
	Table 11.23 Shipping and Navigation CEA	209
	Table 11.24 Commercial Fisheries CEA	225
	Table 11.25 Other Sea Users CEA	230
	Table 11.26 Physical Environment Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	232
	Table 11.27 Benthic Ecology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects Table 11.28 Fish and Shellfish Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	234 235
	Table 11.29 Marine Mammal Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	237
	Table 11.30 Marine Ornithology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	238

Table 11.31 Marine Archaeology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	239
Table 11.32 Shipping and Navigation Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	240
Table 11.33 Commercial Fisheries Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	246
Table 11.34 Other Sea Users Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects	247

Version History					
Date	Issue	Status	Description / Changes		
March 2025	Α	Final	For DCO submission		
November 2025	В	Final	For Deadline 1A		

11. Inter-Project Cumulative Effects

11.1 Introduction

- This chapter of the Environmental Statement (ES) presents how the inter-project cumulative effects assessment has considered the potential significant cumulative effects that may arise from the Offshore Scheme with 'other developments'. A description of inter-project cumulative effects and the methodology is presented in Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Appendix 1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies.
- The Order Limits, which illustrate the boundary of the Proposed Project, are illustrated on **Application Document 2.2.1 Overall Location and Master Key Plan** and the Offshore Scheme Boundary is illustrated on **Application Document 2.2.4 Offshore Location and Key Plan**.
- 11.1.3 This chapter should be read in conjunction with:
 - Application Document 6.2.4.1 Part 1 Introduction Chapter 4 Description of the Proposed Project;
 - Application Document 6.2.1.5 Part 1 Introduction Chapter 5 EIA Approach and Methodology;
 - Application Document 6.2.1.6 Part 1 Introduction Chapter 6 Scoping Opinion and EIA Consultation;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.1 Part 4 Marine Chapter 1 Physical Environment;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.3 Part 4 Marine Chapter 3 Fish and Shellfish Ecology;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.4 Part 4 Marine Chapter 4 Marine Mammals;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.5 Part 4 Marine Chapter 5 Marine Ornithology;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 6 Marine Archaeology;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.7 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Shipping and Navigation;
 - Application Document 6.2.4.8 Part 4 Marine Chapter 8 Commercial Fisheries;
 and
 - Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users.
- 11.1.4 This chapter is supported by the following appendices:
 - Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Appendix 1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies;
 - Application Document 6.3.1.5.B Appendix 1.5.B Inter-Project Cumulative Effects Initial Long List;

- Application Document 6.3.1.5.C Appendix 1.5.C Inter-Project Cumulative Effects Initial Short List; and
- Application Document 6.3.4.11.A Appendix 4.11.A Descriptions of Other Projects.
- 11.1.5 This chapter is supported by the following figure:
 - Application Document 6.4.4.11 ES Figures Inter-Project Cumulative Effects

11.2 Assessment

Stage 1

Review of the Zone of Influence (ZOI)

- The first step in identifying the long list was to establish the Zone of Influence (ZOI) for the Offshore Scheme. **Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Appendix 1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies** presents how the ZOI has been defined based upon the largest study area of the Offshore technical chapters and then doubling that area in order to identify a long list of 'other developments'. These study areas take into account environmental influences such as metocean¹ conditions originating at distance from the Offshore Scheme and the wide-ranging nature of mobile species.
- During Scoping an overall cumulative assessment ZOI of 31 km was proposed. This was based upon the Offshore Scheme potentially resulting in disturbance effects of up to 5 km for marine mammals from geophysical surveys, and other developments which could have a disturbance effect up to 26 km (impact piling and high order unexploded ordnance (UXO) detonation). However, this is an iterative process, and it is acknowledged that there are a number of proposed offshore wind farm developments in the North Sea that, if progressed, would be undertaking piling activities which would have a larger ZOI in comparison to the activities for the Proposed Project.
- It should be noted that clearance of UXO, which can generate significant underwater sound levels, will be subject to a separate marine licence application for the Proposed Project when the number and location of detonations have been identified. Therefore, effects from UXO clearance are not considered in this assessment.
- This ZOI has been backchecked as part of this ES to take account of any changes in the proposed study areas since scoping. The study areas applied in the technical chapter numbers 1-9 are summarised in Table 11.1. The rationale for these study areas is explained in the relevant technical chapters 1-9 of Part 4. These study areas were presented during Scoping and discussed with stakeholders prior to submission of this ES as required. The initial long list of other developments presented in Application Document 6.3.1.5.B Appendix 1.5.B Inter-Project Cumulative Effects Initial Long List has been updated to reflect any additional other developments that have been considered since Scoping.

¹ Meteorological and oceanographic conditions i.e. ocean currents, wave height and speed, wind speed and direction, tidal range, water and air temperature.

Table 11.1 Study areas for environmental topics

Environmental topic	Study areas
Physical Environment	Regional
Benthic Ecology	10 km from Offshore Scheme Boundary
Fish and Shellfish	17 km from Offshore Scheme Boundary (based on the maximum ZOI distance), with a regional approach for the consideration of migratory fish.
Marine Mammals	Inter-Agency Marine Mammal Working Group (IAMMWG)/Special Committee on Seals (SCOS) Marine Management Units
Marine Ornithology	10 km from Offshore Scheme Boundary
Marine Archaeology	Offshore Scheme Boundary
Shipping and Navigation	10 NM from Offshore Scheme Boundary
Commercial Fisheries	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) Rectangles 31F1, 32F1, and 33F1
Other Sea Users	10 km from Offshore Scheme Boundary

Stage 2

- Table 11.2 below presents the short list of other developments considered during stage 1 and 2. This list has been kept under review throughout the preparation of this ES and has been updated as required. Where other developments have been struck through (example) this is because they were previously identified for inclusion but are not now being included because the development has been withdrawn, completed or expected to be complete prior to the construction of the Proposed Project and therefore is considered as part of the baseline for the preliminary assessment.
- Other developments that following a review of the ZOI, were previously unknown or that have been identified through stakeholder engagement since Statutory Consultation have been added in bold (**bold**). Operational projects presented in Table 11.2 identified by stakeholders are included due to their ongoing operational and maintenance requirements identified within their respective EIAs.
- For other fully operational projects, i.e. those developments that will be completed prior to the Proposed Project construction activities and where little to no operation and maintenance activities are expected, these have been considered as part of the future baseline conditions reported in Part 4 of this ES. These projects therefore are not considered as part of the inter-project cumulative appraisal reported by this chapter. This includes the following developments:
 - Atlantic Crossing 1;
 - Mercator;
 - Pan European Crossing; and
 - Tangerine.

Table 11.2 Major developments 'Short List' to be considered in the Inter-Project Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)

Other development	Development description	Tier	Distance from the Offshore Scheme (km)
Sizewell C Nuclear Power Station	A proposed expansion of the Sizewell nuclear licence site north of Sizewell B Nuclear Power Station. This will accommodate two new European pressurised reactors (EPR) with a 3.2 GW electricity generation capacity. This will provide electricity for 6 million homes. This project is currently under construction.	1	5
NeuConnect Interconnector	A proposed 1.4 GW capacity offshore multipurpose interconnector (MPI) project from Wilhemshaven, Germany to the Isle of Grain, Kent developed by Meridam, Allianz Capital and Kansai Electric Power. This project aims to be the first energy connection between the UK and Germany in order to transfer energy between the two countries and increase grid capacity for increased electricity demand and supply from offshore wind assets. The offshore aspects of this development are the High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) subsea cable and cable landfall location.	1	0
GridLink Interconnector	A proposed 1.4 GW capacity offshore MPI project from Dunkerque, France to Kingsnorth, Kent developed by iCON Infrastructure LLP. It aims to transfer energy between the UK and France providing electricity to 2.2 million homes. Additionally, it aims to improve grid capacity for increases in offshore wind electricity generation. The offshore, coastal and intertidal components of the project will consist of the following: HVDC Subsea Cable & Landfall Location.	1	0
North Falls Offshore Windfarm	The main Offshore Wind Array will be located off the Essex and Suffolk coastline developed by Scottish and Southern Electricity (SSE) and RWE. The wider array will be split over two separate arrays cumulatively consisting of 71 wind turbine generators across a 150 km² area. The maximum wind turbine height will be 397 m above Mean High Water Spring (MHWS) and will be supported by either monopile, pin pile, suction caisson, or Gravity Base Structure foundations.	2	0

Other development	Development description	Tier	Distance from the Offshore Scheme (km)
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	A proposed 208 km ² wind farm developed by Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) consisting of 67 turbines with a combined electricity generation capacity of 800 MW, an extension of the existing East Anglia ONE array. It is part of the East Anglia Hub which includes three arrays off the coast of Suffolk.	1	0.36
	Each wind turbine being 300 m above the Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT) and will use either 3-4 leg jackets on piles or suction caissons, monopiles of Gravity Base structures as foundations and will be placed between 33 m to 67 m deep.		
East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	A proposed 255 km² wind farm developed by SPR consisting of 75 turbines. Each turbine will have an electricity generation capacity of 19 MW and 22 m high above MHWS. The foundations will either use 3-4 leg jackets on piles or suction caissons, monopiles of Gravity Base structures and be placed between 33 m to 67 m deep.	1	0.36
East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	A proposed 370 km² wind farm developed by SPR and Vattenfall consisting of 120 to 240 wind turbines with a combined electricity generation capacity of 1200 MW It is part of the East Anglia Hub which includes three arrays off the coast of Suffolk, with the East Anglia THREE array being 79 km from Lowestoft, Suffolk. All wind turbines are located in a water depth of 35 m to 45 m with the tip of the turbine blade 245 m above LAT. Each turbine will be secured with whether jacket on piles, tripods on piles, Gravity Base structures, suction caissons or monopiles foundations. Specific foundation designs will be decided later as the development progresses.	1	0
Nautilus Offshore Interconnector	A 1.4 GW capacity MPI connecting Belgium with the Suffolk Coast being developed by National Grid Ventures (NGV) The aim will be to increase transfer in offshore wind electricity generation and improve grid capacity in both countries to achieve this. The offshore aspect of the development includes: Subsea HVDC connecting the Belgian landfall with the UK landfall in Suffolk and Offshore HVDC converter platform.	3	0
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	A proposed 149 km ² wind farm jointly developed by RWE 37 km off the Suffolk Coast. The array consists of 79 turbines with a combined electricity generation capacity of 50 GW. Each turbine will be between 397 m high above MHWS with a	2	0

Other development	Development description	Tier	Distance from the Offshore Scheme (km)
	337 m rotor diameter tip to tip. Turbines will be anchors by either monopile, suction bucket monopile, pin piled or Gravity Base monopile foundations.		
LionLink (formally Eurolink) Offshore Interconnector	A 1.8 GW MPI connecting the Netherlands and the UK developed by NGV. The aim will be to increase transfer in offshore wind electricity generation and improve grid capacity in both countries to achieve this. This aims to advance key NGV and UK Government goals including transitioning to Net Zero by 2030, enhancing energy security and affordability. The offshore aspect of the development includes: Subsea HVDC connecting the Belgian landfall with the UK landfall in Suffolk and Offshore HVDC converter platform.	3	0
Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2	The application and option area for the exploration and extraction of marine aggregates	3	0.1
NEMO Link	Constructed and operational submarine interconnector connecting Richborough Energy Park in Kent, UK to Zeebrugge, Belgium. This development has been added in following Statutory Consultation with Stakeholders.	1	0
Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Constructed and operational, the Thanet Offshore Windfarm is located approximately 7 miles (11 km) off the coast of Thanet district in Kent, England. The windfarm array sits 0.6 km² from the Offshore Scheme. The Thanet Offshore Transmission Owner (OFTO) export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme on approach to the Kent Landfall. This development has been added in following Statutory Consultation with Stakeholders.	1	0
London Array Offshore Windfarm	Constructed and operational, the London Array offshore windfarm, covers an area of 122.5 km ² , lying 1.2 km to the west of the Offshore Scheme boundary. The project consists of 175 turbines. This development has been added in following Statutory Consultation with Stakeholders.	1	1.2

Note: a distance of zero indicates that projects intersect.

- The tables below provide a summary of stage 1 and 2 of the Offshore Scheme interproject cumulative effects assessment. These tables provide details for the 'other developments' listed above and identifies which of the topic specific ZOIs the 'other development' falls within and evaluates if the 'other development' should be taken forward to stage 3 and 4 of the assessment.
- It should be noted that Nautilus will not be included in the inter-project cumulative effects assessment for the Suffolk Onshore Scheme due to the lack of sufficient information about Nautilus in order to conduct a meaningful cumulative effects assessment. However, Nautilus will be included in the inter-project cumulative effects assessment for the Offshore Scheme. It is acknowledged that the Offshore corridors of Nautilus and Sea Link will inevitably cross and that where this crossing occurs there are likely to be similar sources of impact on the marine offshore environment resulting from installation of the two marine cables; text has therefore been retained to reflect this, as relevant.

Table 11.3 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	Construction Simultaneous cable installation operations with the placement of the input cooling pipelines for Sizewell C which are to be located 3 km offshore (5.38 km northeast of the Proposed Project).	Yes
				Simultaneous/overlapping construction operations may increase the amount of sediment disturbed and released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing contaminants into the water column reducing water quality. However, as the Proposed Project is	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are considered highly unlikely.	
				A wider area of seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms) may be altered during simultaneous installation activities. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are considered highly unlikely.	
				Local hydrodynamic, wave and sediment transport regimes (Minor)	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Operation. Changes to sediment transport regimes leading to change to coastal geomorphology due to the presence of buried rock protection at the HDD exit pits.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	The boundary for all offshore developments at Sizewell C is approximately 5 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for the temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, thus there is potential for cumulative effects via this impact pathway. To mitigate against the introduction and spread of INNS via the addition of cable protection, all rock	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			and concrete mattresses used for cable protection will be clean and from a suitable source (control measure BE03 in Application Document 7.5.3.1 Appendix A Outline Code of Construction Practice) and an INNS Management Plan and Marine Biosecurity Plan has been produced to provide a framework for preventing the introduction and spread of INNS associated with the Proposed Project (control measure BE01 in Application Document 7.5.3.1 Appendix A Outline Code of Construction Practice; Application Document 7.5.12 Outline Invasive Non Native Species Management Plan; and	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal sco		rogress to tage 3 and
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			Marine Biosecurity Plan). Therefore, the risk of a cumulative impact from the accidental introduction and spread of INNS is expected to be Not Significant, and this impact pathway is not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
			Furthermore, drilling fluid discharges from the Proposed Project HDD operations will be single events over a short period of time. All drilling fluids used, will be selected from the OSPAR List of Substances/Preparations Used and Discharged Offshore (2021) which are	
			considered to 'Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment' (PLONOR). Additionally, where entry/exit points are in the intertidal area (i.e. at	

Technical discipline within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			the southern landfall) drilling fluid will be captured where possible (control measure LVS05 in Application Document 7.5.3.1 CEMP Appendix A Outline Code of Construction Practice). Therefore, although the potential dispersion of suspended particles is considered to be a maximum of 17 km (Application Document 6.2.4.1 Part 4 Marine Chapter 1 Physical Environment), the volume of HDD drilling fluid will be very limited and the regular tidal movement in the intertidal zone acting to disperse and dilute any drilling fluid released. Thus, the risk of a cumulative impact from changes to marine water quality from the use of drilling fluids is expected to be Not	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Significant, and this impact pathway is not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between construction phases	The Proposed Project and Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant will not physically overlap and is located over 5 km away. However, this project does fall within the ZOI for this receptor. Therefore, there is potential for the overlapping construction operations to result in cumulative effects from temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition and underwater sound.	Yes
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The boundary for all offshore developments at Sizewell C is approximately 5 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. If construction phase activities	No

Technical Within technical discipline discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			for both projects are simultaneous, cumulative effects from underwater sound generating activities and indirect effects from impacts to prey species have the potential to occur. However, as both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely and this impact pathways is screened out.	
			There will be a small number of vessels involved in the Construction and Operational phases Proposed Project activities, and it is assumed a small number associated with Sizewell C. The anticipated vessels are unlikely to	

Technical Within technical discipline discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			cause cumulative disturbance effects given the high level of local shipping traffic and low density of marine mammals in the ecoregion. Therefore, effects from airborne sound and visual disturbance and marine mammal collision risk have been screened out of further consideration.	
			The accidental release of pollutants could occur from any of the vessels associated the Proposed Project and Sizewell C. However, as Proposed Project activities will adhere to relevant guidance, and comply with all relevant health, safety, and environmental legislation to ensure that the risk of accidental spills is ALARP, The likelihood of an	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				accidental spillage occurring is considered very low and this impact pathway has been screened out of further consideration.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between construction phases	An Environmental Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment has already been carried out for the Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant which included the recommendation of mitigation measures. Simultaneous construction activities in and adjacent to the marine environment could increase the levels of disturbance to birds occurring in the marine environment. However, the Sizewell C Offshore Works are considered to be located at a suitable distance away (approx. 5 km) from the Proposed	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Project for significant cumulative effects to occur, particularly given the mobile nature and low sensitivities to disturbance in the marine environment shown by gulls and terns. Appropriate commitments are also provided in the Sizewell C EIA to secure suitable mitigation measures to minimise project specific impacts. However, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	An Environmental Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment has already been carried out for the Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant which included the recommendation of	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			mitigation measures. The projects will not physically overlap and are located approximately 5 km apart. With regards to seabed heritage receptors (known and potential maritime and aviation features) located within the Proposed Project area, it is unlikely that they will be indirectly impacted by changes to the Physical Environment environment caused by the construction or operation of the nuclear	
			power plant. Relevant shared receptors include: • known and	
			potential palaeogeograp hic features and prehistoric material;	I

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeograp hic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
				the historic seascape	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect? Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
			character of the region.	
			Relevant shared impact pathways include: • physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;	
			 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and project works that temporarily 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region.	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction phase.	The construction operations will impose and patrol a 500 m radius Restricted Zone moving at between 0.5 m and 5 km per day. Nearby vessels are expected to be either aware prior to, and/or contacted directly by the patrol to observe the restriction zone. Any	No

Technical discipline discipline specific ZOI?	discipline specific	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?		
				hazardous marine activity associated with Sizewell C construction, some 5 km north, will also likely establish restricted zones of a similar size, and will also inform vessels using the area appropriately. These small spatial footprints are unlikely to combine for any protracted period or with significant disruption to vessels using the area and as such represent very limited cumulative effect over and above that already identified and addressed by the development embedded mitigation measures. Not likely to have significant cumulative effect.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and	Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant is located in ICES Rectangle 33F1, 5 km north of the Offshore Scheme Boundary.	No

Technical Within technical discipline discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2			Progress to stage 3 and 4	
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			decommissioning phases.	Construction commenced in 2024 with a predicted construction duration of 9 - 15 years. During this time works to install sea defences, cooling systems, and a temporary jetty may cause disruption to some fishers' usual activities. An Environmental Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment has already been carried out for the Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant which included the recommendation of mitigation measures. Furthermore, Sizewell C's project footprint occupies a small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds in ICES 33F1.	
				Combined with the localised and transitory nature of the Proposed Project's cable	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2 O	verlap in emporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				installation (and the associated exclusion zone), and the mitigation measures that will be implemented, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect during the construction phase. Similarly, no likely significant cumulative effect is likely during the operation phase and maintenance phase due to the in-situ cable being	
				relatively discrete, the separation distance between the two projects, and likely short-term nature of any works.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction phase.	An Environmental Impact Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment has already been carried out for the Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant which included the recommendation of mitigation measures.	No
				Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVigational TEleX (NAVTEX), and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.4 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - NeuConnect

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	The NeuConnect HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.7. External protection on the HVDC cables including pre- and post-lay rock placement. Neuconnect has started construction in 2022. The cable crossing with Neuconnect is included as part of the project design, which has already been assessed in Application Document 6.2.4.1 Part 4 Marine Chapter 1 Physical Environment with no further crossings anticipated in the future.	Yes
				Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the amount of sediment disturbed and released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing contaminants into the water column reducing water quality. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur.	
				A wider area of seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms) may be altered during the simultaneous installation activities. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment.	
				The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	The NeuConnect HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.7 and therefore there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				 direct loss of benthic habitats and species due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3), the introduction and spread of INNS and changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids impact pathways are not	

n the ssment.	,
ssment.	
ore, this	
ore Scheme e there is effects to e following physical e to habitats s; ncrease in	Yes
	considered fore, this at been C subsea fore Scheme re there is a effects to be following a physical e to habitats s; increase in ediment

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
Marine Mammals Yes Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	The NeuConnect HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.7 and therefore there is potential for cumulative effects to marine mammals via the following pathways: • Underwater sound; and	No	
				 indirect effects from impacts to prey species. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time,	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reductions in water quality from increases in suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where Neuconnect crosses the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				The Neuconnect EIA found the presence of red-throated diver and other foraging seabirds to be low in the vicinity of its project and in isolation both projects are unlikely	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				to result in significant effects to red- throated diver or other birds. However, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	NeuConnect has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date.	No
				Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				features and prehistoric material;	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
				 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	2 Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				receptors including their setting;	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operation phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				that already foreseen with NeuConnect. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third- party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same place at the same time. However, the expected location of the crossing between the Offshore Scheme and NeuConnect is within the Sunk TSS which is a region of very intense vessel traffic.	
				Potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme;	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning	NeuConnect Interconnector will cross over the Offshore Scheme Boundary in ICES Rectangle 33F2; with offshore cabling due to commence in 2024.	No
			phases.	NeuConnect has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended.	
				Both NeuConnect and the Proposed Project will be localised and transitory in nature (including	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				their associated exclusion zones). Combined with the likely timing of installation for both projects, it is unlikely for both projects to be in the same place at the same time.	
				Furthermore, it is expected that communication between developers regarding cable crossing agreements/design will include discussion of timings, should there be potential for installation activities overlap temporally.	
				Thus, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effects during the construction phase.	
				Furthermore, cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operation phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Neuconnect. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed HVDC cables. The separation and protection structures may comprise concrete mattresses on the HVDC cables and/or pre- and post-lay rock placement. The cable crossing with Neuconnect is included as part of the project design, with no further crossings anticipated in the future. Therefore, this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users.	
				Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.5 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - GridLink Interconnector

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Yes Processes	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and	The GridLink Interconnector HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 101.3.	Yes
			decommissioni ng phases	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the amount of sediment disturbed and released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing contaminants into the water column reducing water quality. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				effects are considered highly unlikely.	
				A wider area of seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms) may be altered during the simultaneous installation activities. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are considered highly unlikely.	
				The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during	The GridLink Interconnector HVDC subsea cable	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 101.3 and therefore there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; • temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; • direct loss of benthic habitats and species due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; • disturbance to	
				on the seabed;	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				cable thermal emissions; and	
				 effects from EMF emissions. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4), the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfis Ecology	sh Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The GridLink Interconnector HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 101.3 and therefore there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				underwater sound;	
				 permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction, operation and decommissioni ng phases	The GridLink Interconnector HVDC subsea cable crosses the Offshore Scheme at KP 101.3 and therefore there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Underwater sound;	No
				 indirect effects from impacts to prey species; and 	
				 airborne sound and visual disturbance. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible. Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to	
				non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small- scale and quickly	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases in suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where GridLink Interconnector crosses the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to redthroated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with	

Technical discipline	Within techr ZOI?	nical discipline specific	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
					Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
					which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there is potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overla construction, op decommissionir cables crossing	eration and ng phases, due to	GridLink Interconnector has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include:	
				 Known and potential palaeogeograp hic features and prehistoric material; 	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeograp 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				hic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include: • Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				be no likely significant cumulative effect .	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operation phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with GridLink Interconnector. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same place at the same time, however there is also potential for significant likely effects in the operation phase.	
				Potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Offshore Scheme;	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				fishing vessels: Gear snagging;	
				 reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
				Additionally, it should be noted that the GridLink potential cable crossing location falls within the Port	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				of London's "NE Spit area" of Safeguarded Depth. This is therefore an additional constraint in terms of acceptable reduction in under keel-clearance, and is relevant to the potential GridLink crossing.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	GridLink is due to commence in 2024. The cable route will cross over the Offshore Scheme Boundary in ICES Rectangle 32F1. It is assumed crossing agreements will be in place between the two projects, but coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both installations being localised and transient in nature.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operation phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Gridlink. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed HVDC cables. The separation and protection structures may comprise concrete mattresses on the HVDC cables and/or preand post-lay rock	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				placement. The cable crossing with Gridlink is included as part of the project design, with no further crossings anticipated in the future. Therefore, this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users.	
				Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered.	
				of impact is still considered to be low as users will be	

Technical discipline		Progress in stage 2	•	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.6 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - North Falls Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	ne Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the	The North falls Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			construction, operation and decommissioning phases	subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 52.0 and KP 53.0.	
				Simultaneous cable and windfarm construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are considered highly unlikely.	
				The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The North falls Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 52.0 and KP 53.0. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; • temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; direct loss of benthic habitats and species due to placement of hard substrates on	Yes
				 disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 effects from EMF emissions. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4), , the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The North falls Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 52.0 and KP 53.0. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species;	Yes
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 underwater sound; permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The North falls Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 52.0 and KP 53.0. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Underwater sound; and,	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 indirect effects from impacts to prey species. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			construction and operation phases	number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular redthroated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality. Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where North Falls Offshore Windfarm crosses the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction,	North Falls Offshore Windfarm will undergo EIA with suitable mitigation measures	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material;	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Sca deve sign
				Rele
				Rele path

Scale and nature of levelopment likely to have a lignificant cumulative effect?

Progress to stage 3 and 4

Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?

- coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and
- the historic seascape character of the region.

Relevant shared impact pathways include:

 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases due to cable crossing.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				foreseen with North Falls Offshore Windfarm. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables.	
				It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same place at the same time. However, the expected location of the crossing between the Offshore Scheme and North Falls Offshore Windfarm export cable is within the Sunk TSS which is a region of very intense vessel traffic.	
				Potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme; 	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under- Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and	The export cable for North Falls Offshore Windfarm will cross over the Offshore Scheme	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			maintenance, and decommissioning	Boundary in ICES Rectangle 32F1.	
			phases.	Under the current programme construction is due to commence in 2025/2026.	
				It is assumed crossing agreements will be in place between the two projects, but coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both cable installations being localised and transient in nature.	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase. Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases due to cable crossing.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor such as that already foreseen with North Falls Offshore Windfarm. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs and schedules in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed HVDC cables. The separation and protection structures may	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				comprise concrete mattresses on the HVDC cables and/or preand post-lay rock placement. The cable crossing with North Falls OWF is included as part of the project design, with no further crossings anticipated in the future. Therefore, this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users. Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones. Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.7 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	hnical stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/ amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur. The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns. Both the Proposed Project and the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm are in close	
				proximity to the Coraline Crag Ridges. Simultaneous construction operations may impact the morphology and sediment composition of the Coraline Crag Ridges.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The Order Limits for East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for the temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, thus there is potential for cumulative effects via this following impact pathway. Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3),, the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	Yes
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The Order Limits for East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, thus there is potential for cumulative effects via this impact pathway.	Yes
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The Order Limits for East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for the following pathways:	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Underwater sound; and,	
				 indirect effects to prey species. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm is in close proximity to the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm and the Proposed Project will not physically overlap, however, with regards to known and potential maritime and aviation features located within the Proposed Project area, it would be beneficial to understand the physical environment within the operating offshore windfarm area that could cause indirect impacts to these receptors. The impact assessment relating to the physical environment within the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase conclude that the significance of effect is minor adverse (ScottishPower Renewables, 2019a) The assessment of indirect impacts caused by changes to the physical environment for the Proposed Project is presented in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) and concludes that the significance of effect is minor adverse. Relevant shared receptors include:	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material; 	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
				 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Based on the results of the physical environment assessment for East Anglia ONE North and the recommended mitigation implemented by both projects, which are anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors, therefore it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The export cable for East Anglia ONE North could make landfall in close proximity to the Offshore Scheme.	Yes
				It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same time, however there is also potential for significant likely effects in the operation phase.	
				Potential pathways are: Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment; and	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	stage 2 so	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and	The export cable for East Anglia One could make landfall as close as 0.36 km from the Offshore Scheme Boundary	Yes
			decommissioning phases.	Construction is expected to commence in 2025 and aims to be operational in 2027/early 2028 based on engagement with developers.	
				Coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both cable installations being localised and transient in nature.	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Any cable protection required will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Otherwise, the close proximity of the two buried cables mean it is unlikely for there to be a large magnitude	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	chnical stage 2 scipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				of any effects. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	No
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.8 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur. The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns. Both the Proposed Project and the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm are in close proximity to the Coraline Crag Ridges. Simultaneous construction operations may impact the morphology and sediment	Yes
				composition of the Coraline Crag Ridges.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and	The Order Limits for East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			decommissioning phases	This distance is within the ZOI for the temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, thus there is potential for cumulative effects via this following impact pathway.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3), the introduction and spread of INNS and changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The Order Limits for East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for the temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, thus there is potential for cumulative effects via this impact pathway.	Yes
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The Order Limits for East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. This distance is within the ZOI for the following pathways:	No
				Underwater sound; and,indirect effects to prey species.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Yes Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red- throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality. Both projects are located in an area already	Yes
				characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where East Anglia TWO OWF is in close proximity to the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	

Technical discipline	ne technical stag discipline	Progress in stage 2	• •	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended.	No
			decommissioning phases.	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm and the Proposed Project will not physically overlap, however, with regards to known and potential maritime and aviation features located within the Proposed Project area, it would be beneficial to understand the physical environment within the operating offshore windfarm area that could cause indirect impacts to these receptors. The impact assessment relating to the physical environment within the East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase conclude that the significance of effect is minor adverse (ScottishPower Renewables, 2019b). The assessment of indirect impacts caused by changes to the physical environment for the Proposed Project is presented in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) and concludes that the significance of effect is minor adverse. Relevant shared receptors include:	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material; 	
				 known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material; 	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
				 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Based on the results of the physical environment assessment for East Anglia TWO and the recommended mitigation implemented by both projects, which are anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors, therefore it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The export cable for East Anglia TWO could make landfall in close proximity to the Offshore Scheme.	Yes
				It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same time, however there is also potential for significant likely effects in the operation phase.	
				Potential pathways are:	
				 Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				and major damage to equipment; and	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	The export cable for East Anglia Two could make landfall as close as 0.36 km from the Offshore Scheme Boundary Construction is expected to commence in 2025 and aims to be operational in 2027/early 2028 based on engagement with developers. Coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both cable installations being localised and transient in nature. Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase. Any cable protection required will occupy an	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Otherwise, the close proximity of the two buried cables mean it is unlikely for there to be a large magnitude of any effects. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase. Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones. Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project. Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	No

Table 11.9 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur. The cable protection measures installed for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	Yes
				Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm are in close proximity to the Coraline Crag Ridges. Simultaneous construction operations may impact the morphology and sediment composition of the Coraline Crag Ridges.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction,	The East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
		decommissioning phases	cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 11.4 and KP 14.5. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:		
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				 direct loss of benthic habitats and species due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from EMF emissions. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4),, the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 11.4 and KP 14.5. Therefore, there is potential for	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				cumulative effects via the following pathways:	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				underwater sound;	
				 permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 11.4 and KP 14.5. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:	No
				 Underwater sound; and, 	
				 indirect effects to prey species. 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?		Relevant shared receptors a pathways?	Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible. Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and	
				visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver, as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	Yes
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such,	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 sc	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				direct cumulative disturbance to non- breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm is in close proximity to the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea. In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	echnical stage 2 scope?		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Furthermore, the impact assessment relating to the physical environment within the East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase concludes that the significance of effect is between negligible and minor adverse/beneficial (East Anglia Offshore Wind, 2015). Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material;	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope?	 have a significant cumulative effect? to sta	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?		Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
			 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
			 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
			Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
			 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
			 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
			 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same time, however there is also potential for significant likely effects in the operation phase.	Yes
				Potential pathways are:	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	ie		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment; 	
				 Disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme; 	
				 Anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				Fishing vessels: Gear snagging;	
				 Reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; 	
				 Vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and	The export cable for East Anglia Three crosses the Offshore Scheme Boundary in ICES Rectangle 33F1.	Yes
			decommissioning phases.	Construction on the project commenced in July 2022 and is scheduled to be completed by 2026.	
				It is assumed crossing agreements will be in place between the two projects, but coordination will be required to avoid	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both cable installations being localised and transient in nature.	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor such as that already foreseen with East Anglia THREE OWF. Crossings will be undertaken using	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				agreed crossing designs and schedules in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed HVDC cables. The separation and protection structures may comprise concrete mattresses on the HVDC cables and/or pre- and post-lay rock placement. The cable crossing with East Anglia THREE OWF is included as part of the project design, with no further crossings anticipated in the future. Therefore, this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users.	
				Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX,	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in Overlap stage 2 scope?	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.10 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Nautilus Offshore Interconnector

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector application is expected to be submitted in 2024. No construction programme is available so worst-case temporal overlap is assumed.	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur. The cable protection measures installed at the potentially shared landfall site for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	Yes
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector application is expected to be submitted in 2024. No construction programme is available so worst-case temporal overlap is assumed.	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector potential routing options currently overlap with the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk Landfall, with the cables unlikely to cross at this location. Potential impact pathways, such as direct loss of benthic habitats and species, disturbance from thermal emissions, and effects of EMF emissions were concluded to be localised to the Proposed Project cables	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				(Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, these impacts have been screened out of further assessment.	
				However, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; and	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4), the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector potential routing options currently overlap with the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk Landfall, with the cables unlikely to cross at this location.	Yes
				Potential impact pathways, such as direct loss of benthic habitats and species, disturbance from thermal emissions, and effects of EMF emissions were concluded to	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 be localised to the Proposed Project cables (i.e. within 25 m of the cable route) However, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: Temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. 	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector application is expected to be submitted in 2024. No construction programme is available so worst-case temporal overlap is assumed.	The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector potential routing options currently overlap with the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk Landfall, with the cables unlikely to cross at this location. However, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Underwater sound; and	No
				 indirect effects from impacts to prey species. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small	
				from increases suspended sediment are	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	•		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, if cable crossing occurs.	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector will undergo EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. Although unconfirmed at this time, if marine cables from both projects do cross it is essential to ensure that at the intersection the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	chnical stage 2 scope?	 Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?		Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and	
			 prehistoric material; known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
			 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and 	
			 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
			Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
			 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
			 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	echnical stage 2 scope? liscipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables.	Yes
				It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same time, however there is also	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				potential for significant likely effects in the operation phase.	
				Potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme; 	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
				Additionally, it should be noted that the Nautilus Offshore Interconnector potential cable crossing location falls within the Port of London's "NE Spit area" of Safeguarded Depth. This is therefore an additional constraint in terms of acceptable reduction in	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				under keel-clearance, and is relevant to the potential Nautilus crossing.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	The current design for Nautilus Offshore Interconnector includes a Sussex landfall option partially overlapping the Proposed Project's landfall. However, marine cables are unlikely to cross at this location. With DCO submission not expected until	No
				2028, the timing of subsequent installation activities are not likely to overlap with the Proposed Project.	
				In the event that Nautilus' design moves to Kent, it's cable will cross that of the	
				Proposed Project. However, it is likely that the Proposed Project will have already completed cable installation by this time, making the occurrence of combined exclusion zones unlikely; and any required cable protection is expected to be extremely localised relative to the availability of fishing grounds.	
				Furthermore, both projects are expected to be informed by regular feedback from fishers through consultation regarding any potential effects to fishing; and both projects have undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Thus, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effects during the construction phase.	
				Furthermore, cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase. Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements as required with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor such as that already foreseen with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs and schedules in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed HVDC	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in Stage 2 Overlap in temporal scope?	 Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?		Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			cables. The separation and protection structures may comprise concrete mattresses, protective on the HVDC cables and/or pre- and post-lay rock placement.	
			Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
			Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
			Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.11 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables	The Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.2 and KP 52.7.	Yes
			crossing.	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur.	
				The cable protection measures installed at the potentially shared landfall site for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning	The Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.2 and KP 52.7. Therefore, there is potential for	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			phases, due to cables crossing.	cumulative effects via the following pathways:	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				 direct loss of benthic habitats and species due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from EMF emissions. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4),, the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	The Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.2 and KP 52.7. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	echnical stage 2 scope? iscipline	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition; 	
				 underwater sound; 	
				 permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed; 	
				 disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases	The Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.2 and KP 52.7. Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Underwater sound; and	Yes
				 indirect effects from impacts to prey species. 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	stage 2 scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible. Based on the rationale outlined for other	
				projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver (considered to be the most sensitive), as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	Yes
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm crosses the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea.	
				In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	

Technical discipline	e technical stage 2 scope? discipline		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material;	No
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope? discipline	 Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?		Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and	
			 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
			Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
			 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
			 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
			 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
			Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Five Estuaries. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same place at the same time. However, the expected location of the export cable crossing between the Offshore Scheme and Five Estuaries is within the Sunk TSS which is a region of very intense vessel traffic.	Yes
				Potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme;	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and	The Five Estuaries Offshore Boundary crosses over the Offshore Scheme Boundary in ICES Rectangle 32F1.	Yes
			decommissioning phases.	Construction is due to commence in 2027 with full operation in 2030 under the current schedule	
				It is assumed crossing agreements will be in place between the two projects, but coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time in close proximity, despite both cable installations being localised and transient in nature.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and agreements to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Cable protection at the crossing will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor such as that already foreseen with Five Estuaries OWF. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs and schedules in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				protection over the installed HVDC cables. The separation and protection structures may comprise concrete mattresses on the HVDC cables and/or pre- and post-lay rock placement. The cable crossing with Five Estuaries OWF is included as part of the project design, with no further crossings anticipated in the future. Therefore, this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.9 Part 4 Marine Chapter 9 Other Sea Users.	
				Marine recreational users could be displaced from the area of spatial overlap, including any recommended working zones.	
				Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.12 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Lionlink Offshore Interconnector

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
	s the Proposed Pr			shore Scheme. However, the HVDC subseat ble is coming from. It is also unlikely for both	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	Simultaneous cable construction operations may increase the area/amount of sediment disturbed and then released into the water column, increasing water column turbidity and potentially releasing more contaminants into the water column reducing water quality across a wider area. However, as the Proposed Project is predicted to have only negligible effects, this impact is screened out from further assessment as significant cumulative effects are unlikely to occur.	No
Benthic Ecolog	y Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	Potential impact pathways, such as direct loss of benthic habitats and species, disturbance from thermal emissions, and effects of EMF emissions were concluded to be localised to the Proposed Project cables (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, these impacts have been screened out of further assessment.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope? discipline		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				However, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways:	
				 Temporary physical disturbance to habitats and species; and 	
				 temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. 	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (detailed in Table 11.3 and Table 11.4),, the introduction and spread of INNS, changes in marine water quality from HDD drilling fluids, and underwater noise impact pathways are not considered further within the cumulative effects assessment.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	Simultaneous cable burial operations may have the potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: Temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition.	Yes
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and	Simultaneous cable burial operations may have the potential for cumulative effects via the following pathways: • Underwater sound; and	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope? discipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			decommissioning phases	 indirect effects from impacts to prey species; and. 	
				As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is likely to endure for only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
				Based on the rationale outlined for other projects (Table 11.3), airborne sound and visual disturbance, vessel collision risk, and reduction in marine water quality have been screened out of further assessment.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap between the construction and operation phases	Simultaneous or protracted cable laying from multiple projects could increase the number of project-related vessels (and thus sound and visual disturbance) in areas supporting species sensitive to disturbance, in particular red-throated diver, as well as increasing temporary disturbance to foraging habitats and further decreasing water quality.	Yes

Technical discipline	ne technical stage 2 scope? discipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?		
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Both projects are located in an area already characterised by high levels of shipping activity and vessels used in both projects will be slow moving and only present in discrete areas for a short amount of time. As such, direct cumulative disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is not likely. The cumulative disturbance of seabed habitat and potential temporary loss of foraging opportunities will be small-scale and quickly recoverable. Any reduction in water quality from increases in suspended sediment are likely to be highly localised over a small spatial overlap where the Lionlink is in close proximity to the Proposed Project in comparison to the total amount of foraging habitat for seabirds and waterbirds in the North Sea. In isolation both projects are unlikely to result in significant effects to red-throated diver or other birds. However, unmitigated, there is the potential for construction activities associated with both projects to act cumulatively in generating a level of sustained or protracted disturbance, that could sequentially disturb or increase the frequency with which red-throated diver are displaced. As a result, there remains a potential for significant cumulative	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				effects related to the disturbance of the red-throated diver.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and	LionLink Offshore Interconnector will undergo EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended.	No
			decommissioning phases.	With regards to seabed heritage receptors (known and potential maritime and aviation features) located within the Proposed Project area, it is unlikely that they will be indirectly impacted by changes to the Physical Environment environment caused by the construction or operation of the interconnector.	
				 Relevant shared receptors include: Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material; 	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope? discipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely Progress to to have a significant cumulative effect? stage 3 and
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?
				historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and
				 the historic seascape character of the region.
				Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region.
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	in Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with LionLink. This communication between the projects will help to avoid any concurrent activities and mean that any effects are minimal.	No
				There is therefore considered to be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	The current emerging landfall preference for LionLink is at Southwold/Reydon and an alternative location at Walberswick. However, there one other landfall option at Aldeburgh/Thorpeness, where the Proposed Project makes landfall.	Yes
				Construction is due to commence in 2027 with the final connection date being in 2029 under the current schedule based on project engagement with National Grid Ventures.	
				Coordination will be required to avoid exclusion zones for both projects being implemented at the same time either	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	technical stage 2 scope? discipline	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4	
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				locally or regionally in important areas for fishers.	
				Further information is required regarding the installation timelines and design for LionLink to be able to rule out the potential of cumulative effects on commercial fisheries receptors during the construction phase.	
				Any cable protection required approaching the overlapping landfall option would occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation. Otherwise, the close proximity of the two buried cables mean it is unlikely for there to be a large magnitude of any effects. Any maintenance works will also be extremely localised and short-term in nature. Thus, there are no likely significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase. Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	nical stage 2 scope? ipline	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.13 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA - Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	No	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 commenced in 2017 and has an end date of 31 July 2024. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2.	No
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	No	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 commenced in 2017 and has an end date of 31 July 2024. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2. Additionally, Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 is located 0.1 km from the Offshore Scheme, beyond the ZOI of any potential impact pathways associated with the operational phase. Therefore, it has not been considered further.	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	No	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 commenced in 2017 and has an end date of 31 July 2024. Therefore, there is no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2.	No
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	No	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 is located 0.1 km from the Offshore Scheme. However, it commenced in 2017 and has an end date of 31 July 2024. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2.	No
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	No	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 commenced in 2017 and has an end date of 31 July 2024. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2.	No
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the	Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 has undergone EIA	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	with suitable mitigation measures in place. The projects will not physically overlap and are located 0.1 km apart.	
				With regards to seabed heritage receptors (known and potential maritime and aviation features) located within the Proposed Project area, it is unlikely that they will be indirectly impacted by changes to the Physical Environment environment caused by dredging within this aggregate area. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic	
				features and prehistoric material;	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to 	

Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?

Progress to stage 3 and 4

Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?

coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and

 the historic seascape character of the region.

Relevant shared impact pathways include:

- Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;
- physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				According to The Crown Estate (The Crown Estate, 2014), the end date for this area is 31 July 2024 and therefore no cumulative effect between the aggregate area and the Proposed Project will occur. If dredging is resumed within Area 528/2 in the future, the recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project route avoids aggregates and mining site agreement areas as according to detailed burial risk assessment and route selection.	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				The Cable Burial Risk Assessment has identified the proposed aggregates extraction area and recommends monitoring the proposal with key contacts in place. Proximity agreements shall be established as according to industry guidelines, as necessary, to manage proximal activities and any specific related risks. It is unlikely for both projects to be active at the same place at the same time. Nonetheless, potential pathways are: • Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions	
				leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment; and	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes 	

and areas due

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				activities of the Offshore Scheme.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	Hanson Aggregate extraction has been ongoing since 2017, ending in July 2024. In the event that further licence has / will be granted for the site; there is no overlap between the Offshore Scheme Boundary and the aggregate extraction site. Furthermore, the localised and transitory nature of the Proposed Project's cable installation (and the associated exclusion zone), and the mitigation measures that will be implemented, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect across the construction, operation, and maintenance phases. Decommissioning plans for the Proposed Project are currently unconfirmed, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase.	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases.	The Proposed Project route avoids aggregates and mining site agreement areas as according to detailed burial risk assessment and route selection.	No
				The Cable Burial Risk Assessment has identified the proposed aggregates extraction area and recommends monitoring the proposal with key contacts in place. Proximity agreements shall be established as according to industry guidelines, as necessary,	
				to manage proximal activities and any specific related risks. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.14 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – Nemo Link

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Overlap during the operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	NEMO Link intersects the Offshore Scheme at KP 113.1. Nemo Link has been fully operational since 2019. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. The cable protection measures installed at the potentially shared landfall site for both projects may collectively impact sediment	No
				This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in Application Document 6.2.4.1 Part 4 Physical Environment.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	NEMO Link intersects the Offshore Scheme at KP 113.1. Nemo Link has been fully operational since 2019. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. Therefore the following operational impacts have been scoped in for further assessment of cumulative effects:	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 Disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and 	
				 effects from EMF emissions. 	
				Any direct loss of benthic habitats and species at this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes		NEMO Link intersects the Offshore Scheme at KP 113.1. Nemo Link has been fully operational since 2019. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities.	Yes
				Therefore, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following operational impacts:	
				Disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and	
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
				Any direct loss of fish and shellfish habitat at this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.3 Fish and Shellfish.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Overlap during the operation and decommissioning phases	NEMO Link intersects the Offshore Scheme at KP 113.1. Nemo Link has been fully operational since 2019. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities.	No
				However, there is potential for cumulative effects via the following operational impacts: • Indirect effects from impacts to prey species.	
				It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance phase	Nemo Link is now fully operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. Any operational impacts to birds are expected to be highly localised and very minor.	No
				Red-throated diver has been identified as a sensitive receptor for both Nemo Link and the Proposed Project. However, operation and maintenance activities are expected to	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	• • •	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				occur on a much smaller scale compared to the construction phase.	
				As a result, no likely significant effects are anticipated for any marine bird species.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	Nemo Link is operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material;	No
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and		
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
				 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect .	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Nemo Link. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. Nemo Link routes through, and makes landfall in, Pegwell Bay, which is an area of shallow and dynamic water depth. The Proposed Project also plans to route through and make landfall in Pegwell Bay. This therefore means greater potential for cumulative effects through the following potential pathways: Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life	
				and major damage to equipment;	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme;	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under-Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries		Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance, and decommissioning	Nemo Link also makes landfall in Pegwell Bay, a sensitive area for commercial fisheries, and has been fully operational since 31st January 2019.	No
			phases.	Any cable protection required will occupy an extremely small portion of relatively widespread fishing grounds as identified during fisher consultation and suggested by vessel sightings data. Otherwise, the close proximity of the two buried cables mean it is unlikely for there to be a large magnitude of any effects.	
				There are planned outages for NemoLink in September 2024, 2025, and 2026 for maintenance works, with clear dates	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline	Progress in Overlap in temporal stage 2 scope?		Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
	specific ZOI?			Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				published for these. This, alongside the planned communication with fishers Proposed Project mitigate the potential for cumulative effects to occur in Pegwell Bay. Thus, there are no likely significant cumulative effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but timings are highly unlikely to coincide, Therefore, the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase for each individual project.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases with maintenance	Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project.	No
				Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.15 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – Thanet Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	Overlap during the operation and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	Thanet Offshore Windfarm has been fully operational since 2010. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme at KP 107.6 and KP 107.6. The cable protection measures installed at the potentially shared landfall site for both projects may collectively impact sediment transport patterns. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in Application	No
				Document 6.2.4.1 Part 4 Physical Environment.	
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the operation	Thanet Offshore Windfarm has been fully operational since	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			and decommissioning phases, due to cables crossing.	2010. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme at KP 107.6 and KP 107.6. Therefore the following operational impacts have been scoped in for further assessment of cumulative effects: • Disturbance to benthic habitats and species due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and • effects from EMF emissions.	
				Any direct loss of benthic habitats and species at this crossing does not constitute a cumulative effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology.	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes		Thanet Offshore Windfarm has been fully operational since 2010. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme at KP 107.6 and KP 107.6. Therefore, there is the potential for cumulative effects to occur via the following operational impact pathways: • Disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and	Yes
				 effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions. 	
				Any direct loss of fish and shellfish habitat at this crossing does not constitute a cumulative	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				effect as it is already considered in Application Document 6.2.4.3 Fish and Shellfish.	
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	Overlap during the operation and decommissioning phases	Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme at KP 107.6 and KP 107.6. It has been fully operational since 2010. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities.	No
				However, there is the potential for cumulative effects to occur via the following operational impact pathways: • Indirect effects from impacts to prey species.	
				It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance phases.	Thanet Offshore Windfarm is now operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. Any operational impacts to birds are expected to be highly localised and very minor. Red-throated diver has been identified as a sensitive receptor for both Thanet Offshore Windfarm and the Proposed Project. However, operation and maintenance activities are expected to occur on a much smaller scale compared to the construction phase. As a result, no likely significant effects are anticipated for any marine bird species.	No
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning	Thanet Offshore Windfarm is operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. It is essential to ensure that at the intersection of both projects that	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			phases, due to cables crossing.	the depth of the trench(es) and any use of external cable protection are fully assessed as part of the EIA for the potential for direct and indirect impact to marine archaeological receptors. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES chapter for marine archaeology (Application Document 6.2.4.6 Part 4 Marine Chapter 7 Marine Archaeology) based on the design information to date. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material; • known and potential maritime and	
				aviation sites and associated finds;	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include:	
				 Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting; 	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				sedimentary regimes; and	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the historic seascape of the region. 	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance, and decommissioning phases.	The Proposed Project will enter into crossing agreements and/or proximity agreements with the third-party asset owners of any subsea infrastructure installed and/or planned along the corridor, such as that already foreseen with Thanet Offshore Windfarm. Cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance	Yes

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables.	
				Thanet Offshore Windfarm is now operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. The export cable for Thanet Offshore Windfarm is also routes through and makes landfall in Pegwell Bay which is already an area of shallow and dynamic water depth.	
				This therefore means greater potential for cumulative effects through the following potential pathways:	
				Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leading to loss of life and major damage to equipment;	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				 disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme; 	
				 anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable; 	
				 fishing vessels: Gear snagging; 	
				 reduction in Under- Keel Clearance; and 	
				 vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment. 	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation and maintenance phases.	Thanet Offshore Windfarm has been operational since 2010. Cable protection will likely be required where crossings exist,	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				however the Proposed Project's cable protection will be extremely localised relative to available fishing grounds; and has been designed to minimise the risk of fishing gears snagging.	
				Any maintenance works by Thanet Offshore Windfarm will be highly localised and likely to be short-term in nature.	
				Thus, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effects during the operation and maintenance phase.	
				Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but timings are highly unlikely to coincide, Therefore, the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase for each individual project.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational	Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
			phases with maintenance	occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project. Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	

Table 11.16 Matrix summarising stage 1 and 2 of the Inter-Project CEA – London Array Offshore Windfarm

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Physical Environment	Yes	Yes	No	Construction of phase 1 of the wind farm began in 2011 and inaugurated in 2013. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and the London Array Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase.	No
Benthic Ecology	Yes	Yes	No	Construction of phase 1 of the wind farm began in 2011 and inaugurated in 2013. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and the London Array Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase. Additionally, the London Array Offshore Windfarm is located 1.2 km from the Offshore Scheme, beyond the ZOI of any potential impact pathways associated with the operational phase. Therefore, it has not been considered further.	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Fish and Shellfish Ecology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation phase	Construction of phase 1 of the wind farm began in 2011 and inaugurated in 2013. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and the London Array Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase. The development is located 1.2 km away from the Offshore Scheme. Operational effects including EMF emissions and thermal effects are highly localised and therefore there is also no potential for cumulative effects during the operational stage. Therefore, it has not been considered further.	No
Marine Mammals	Yes	Yes	No	Construction of phase 1 of the wind farm began in 2011 and was inaugurated in 2013. Therefore, no temporal interaction is anticipated between the Proposed Project and the London Array Offshore Windfarm during the construction phase.	No

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
Marine Ornithology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation, maintenance and decommissioning phases.	The London Array Offshore Windfarm is now operational. Any operational impacts to birds are expected to be highly localised and very minor.	No
				Red-throated diver has been identified as a sensitive receptor for both Thanet Offshore Windfarm and the Proposed Project. However, operation and maintenance activities are expected to occur on a much smaller scale compared to the construction phase.	
				As a result, no likely significant effects are anticipated for any marine bird species.	
Marine Archaeology	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.	London Array Offshore Windfarm is operational and has undergone EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended. The projects will not physically overlap and the closest turbine is located just under 2 km away.	No
				With regards to seabed heritage receptors (known and potential maritime and aviation features)	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				located within the Proposed Project area, it is unlikely that they will be indirectly impacted by changes to the Physical Environment environment caused by the construction or operation of the nuclear power plant. Relevant shared receptors include: • Known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material;	
				 known and potential maritime and aviation sites and associated finds; 	
				 coastal and intertidal heritage assets relating to coastal/marine activity (known and potential palaeogeographic features and prehistoric material, 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features); and	
				 the historic seascape character of the region. 	
				Relevant shared impact pathways include: • Physical disturbance activities causing direct damage and/or loss to marine archaeological receptors including their setting;	
				 physical disturbance activities causing indirect changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes; and 	
				 project works that temporarily or permanently change the character of the 	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				historic seascape of the region.	
				Recommended mitigation implemented by both projects is anticipated to be sufficient to minimise impact on marine historic environment receptors and it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	
Shipping and Navigation	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation, maintenance and decommissioning phases.	The London Array Offshore Windfarm is now operational. Any maintenance works by London Array Offshore Windfarm will be highly localised and likely to be short-term in nature and highly unlikely to coincide with any activities related to the Proposed Project.	No
				Furthermore, it is expected that communication between developers regarding cable installation within the London Array area will reduce any	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				potential for activities to overlap temporally.	
Commercial Fisheries	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during operation, maintenance and decommissioning phases.	London Array Offshore Windfarm has been operational since 2013; with part of the array area overlapping the Offshore Scheme Boundary.	
				Any maintenance works by London Array Offshore Windfarm will be highly localised and likely to be short-term in nature and highly unlikely to coincide with any activities related to the Proposed Project.	
				The operational presence of the Proposed Project's cable is also not anticipated to have any significant effects.	
				Furthermore, it is expected that communication between developers regarding cable installation within the London Array area, reduce any potential for installation activities to overlap temporally.	

Technical discipline	Within technical discipline specific ZOI?	Progress in stage 2	Overlap in temporal scope?	Scale and nature of development likely to have a significant cumulative effect?	Progress to stage 3 and 4
				Relevant shared receptors and/or pathways?	
				Thus, it is expected that there will be no likely significant cumulative effects during the operation and maintenance phase. Decommissioning plans are currently unknown, but the effects are likely to be similar to those during the construction phase for each individual project.	
Other Sea Users	Yes	Yes	Potential overlap during construction and operational phases with maintenance	Whilst there may be a slight increase in potential interruption to recreational activities as a result of both developments occurring cumulatively, the magnitude of impact is still considered to be low as users will be able to use other areas in close proximity to the Proposed Project. Notice(s) will be given to marine recreational users in the area via the use of Notices to Mariners, Kingfisher Bulletins, NAVTEX, and/or broadcast warnings. Not likely to have significant effect.	No

Stage 3

Further information on all these other developments is provided in **Application Document 6.3.4.11.A Appendix 4.11.A Descriptions of Other Projects** in order to support stage 3. This appendix provides further information on the design, construction, and programme for the other developments.

Stage 4

- Stage 4 has entailed undertaking a CEA for the 'short list' of developments where that development has been taken through to stage 4 for a particular topic, as defined in the Stage 2 Tables above. The results of this assessment are reported in matrix format for each topic in the tables below. Where topics have not carried though any developments to stage 3 and stage 4, subsequent CEA tables have not been provided. Where the effects across projects are the same, columns have been merged together for ease.
- Professional judgement alongside topic specific standards and guidance outlined within each chapter, and the criteria presented in **Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Appendix 1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies** has been applied in determining whether the combination of effects from two developments could result in a significant effect overall. As a guide and to aid consistency and transparency of how professional judgement has been applied, a 'significance matrix' has been developed, as presented in **Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Appendix 1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies**.
- Where the effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project and from the 'other developments' are identical, the corresponding columns in Table 11.17 Table 11.24 Stage 4 tables below have been combined.

Table 11.17 Physical Environment CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant	Local hydrodyna sediment transp Coastal geomor associated sedin regimes	ort regimes phology and	A wider area of seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms) may be altered during the simultaneous installation activities. However, seafloor and bedform recovery is expected via natural sediment transport processes once activities have stopped. There will be no likely significant cumulative effect.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
NeuConnect	Local hydrodyna sediment transp		Any impacts associated with cable protection measures installed for both projects that may collectively impact sediment transport patterns are assessed to have no likely significant cumulative effect as their placement will be at discrete locations where any impact will localised with a low impact magnitude.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
Gridlink	-	port patterns as a	Any impacts associated with cable	No further cumulative	No significant
North Falls Offshore Windfarm	result of cable p	rotection.	protection measures installed for both projects that may collectively impact sediment transport patterns are assessed to have no likely significant cumulative	mitigation required.	cumulative effect
Nautilus			effect as their placement will be at		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Five Estuaries			discrete locations where any impact will localised with a low impact magnitude.		
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	result of cable p		The use of HDD drilling techniques at the landfall will minimise seabed disturbance and avoid the need for cable protection in the intertidal and shallow nearshore area. It is likely that there will be no significant	mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	ridges		cumulative effect. These projects are in close proximity to		
East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm			the Coraline Crag Ridges. The cumulative impact of the projects on this geological feature may be to change the surficial sediment composition of the Ridges as the combined amount of sediment suspended during cable burial operations are transported deposited onto the ridges. The impact is likely to be temporary as the finer sediment is likely to be re-suspended under higher current speeds or storm wave activity. However, some small, localized zones that are sheltered from current and wave action may experience permanent change to the sediment composition.		
			Commitments have been made for East Anglia ONE North, East Anglia TWO and East Anglia THREE windfarms:		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			 To install the export cable using HDD techniques to minimise disturbance south of the Coraline Crag ridges and at landfall; and 		
			 selection of the preferred landfall location for the export cable towards the southern end of the offshore cable corridor boundary that avoids the Coraline Crags outcrop. 		
			Based on these commitments, the impact on the Coraline Crag sedimentary composition is likely to have no significant cumulative effect		

Table 11.18 Benthic Ecology CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant	Nuclear Power Pl	athway that Sizewell C lant and the Proposed ne temporary increase in nt deposition.	Not significant The boundary for all offshore developments at Sizewell C is approximately 5 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, but at this distance any increase SSC will be very limited and any sediment deposition will be limited to a thickness which is unlikely to be detectable in the field. Therefore, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
NeuConnect	distur speci • tempo and s • direct and s	porary physical rbance to habitats and es; orary increase in SSC sediment deposition; t loss of benthic habitats species due to placement rd substrates on the	Not significant The Proposed Project crosses the Neuconnect HVDC cable route at KP 50.7. At this crossing, it is expected that cable protection, such as rock placement and/or addition of concrete mattresses will be required. The habitat present at this cable crossing has been identified as circalittoral fine sand. Given the prevalence of this habitat within the wider North Sea area and the small spatial scale of permanent loss or	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	habita subse emiss	rbance to benthic ats and species due to ea cable thermal sions; and ts from EMF emissions.	temporary disturbance it is not anticipated that there will be a significant cumulative impact. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will be have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		
GridLink Interconnector		oorary physical rbance to habitats and	Not significant The Proposed Project crosses the Gridlink cable route at one location at KP 101.3. At this crossing, it is expected that cable protection, such as rock placement and/or addition of concrete mattresses will be required. The habitat	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

-	d tors from oposed	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	 direction and seab disturbabit subsequents 	orary increase in SSC sediment deposition; t loss of benthic habitats species due to placement rd substrates on the ed; rbance to benthic ats and species due to ea cable thermal sions; and ts from EMF emissions.	present at this cable crossing has been identified as circalittoral mixed sediment. Given the prevalence of this habitat within the wider North Sea area and the small spatial scale of permanent loss or temporary disturbance it is not anticipated that the cumulative impact would compromise the functional integrity of general habitats and species or diminish biodiversity at the regional scale. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative effects will be local and small in scale so		

not considered to be significant.

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
North Falls Offshore Windfarm	distur speci • temp and s • direct and s of ha seab • distur habits subse emiss	porary physical rbance to habitats and ies; orary increase in SSC sediment deposition; t loss of benthic habitats species due to placement rd substrates on the	The North falls Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 52.0 and KP 53.0. The habitat present at these cable crossings has been identified as circalittoral coarse sediment. Given the prevalence of this habitat within the wider North Sea area and the small spatial scale of permanent loss or temporary disturbance it is not anticipated that there will be a significant cumulative impact. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	Anglia ONE North East Anglia TWO	athway for both East Offshore Windfarm and Offshore Windfarm is	Not significant The subsea cable corridor for East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm, which is	No further cumulative mitigation	No significant cumulative
East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	sediment depositi	crease in SSC and ion.	the same as that for East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm, is approximately 0.36 km northeast of the Offshore Scheme.	required.	effect
vviilulailii			There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition, but at this distance any increase SSC will be very limited and any sediment deposition will be limited to a thickness which is unlikely to be detectable in the field. Therefore, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely.		
East Anglia	The key impact p	athways are:	Not significant	No further	No
THREE Offshore Windfarm		oorary physical bance to habitats and es;	The East Anglia THREE Offshore cumulative	mitigation cumul	significant cumulative effect
		orary increase in SSC sediment deposition;	Scheme at KP 11.4 and KP 14.5. Given the small spatial scale of permanent loss or temporary disturbance it is not		
		t loss of benthic habitats species due to placement	anticipated that the cumulative impact would compromise the functional integrity of general habitats and species or diminish biodiversity at the regional scale.		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	distur habita subse emiss	rd substrates on the ed; rbance to benthic ats and species due to ea cable thermal sions; and ts from EMF emissions.	There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		
Nautilus Offshore Interconnector	distur speci • tempo	athways are: porary physical rbance to habitats and les; and orary increase in SSC sediment deposition.	Not significant The Nautilus Offshore Interconnector potential routing options currently overlap with the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk Landfall, with the cables unlikely to cross at this location. Given the small spatial scale of any temporary disturbance, it is not anticipated that the cumulative impact would compromise the functional integrity	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			of general habitats and species or diminish biodiversity at the regional scale. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely.		
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	distur speci • temp and s • direct and s of ha seab • distur habits subse emiss	porary physical rbance to habitats and ies; orary increase in SSC sediment deposition; t loss of benthic habitats species due to placement rd substrates on the	Not significant The Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm will include two HVAC subsea cables that will cross the Offshore Scheme at KP 50.2 and KP 52.7. At this crossing, it is expected that cable protection, such as rock placement and/or addition of concrete mattresses will be required. The habitat present at these cable crossings has been identified as circalittoral fine and sand and mixed sediment. Given the prevalence of these habitats within the wider North Sea area and the small spatial scale of permanent loss or temporary disturbance it is not anticipated that the cumulative impact would compromise the functional integrity of	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			general habitats and species or diminish biodiversity at the regional scale. There is the potential for some overlap in a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		
Lionlink Offsh Interconnecto	distui speci • temp	athways are: corary physical rbance to habitats and es; and orary increase in SSC sediment deposition.	Not significant The Lionlink Offshore Interconnector is located 0 km from the Offshore Scheme. However, the HVDC subsea cable is unlikely to cross the Proposed Project cable due to the direction that the cable is coming from.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			Given the small spatial scale of any temporary disturbance, it is not anticipated that the cumulative impact would compromise the functional integrity of general habitats and species or diminish biodiversity at the regional scale. There is the potential for some overlap in		
			a temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition. Any significant increase in elevated SSC and deposition will have a limited area of effect, localised to the Proposed Project cable. Therefore, given the limited and temporary nature of any significant impact, any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely.		
NEMO Link	habit subs emis	pathways are: Irbance to benthic ats and species due to ea cable thermal sions; and ts from EMF emissions.	Not significant NEMO Link intersects the Offshore Scheme at KP 113.1. NEMO Link has been fully operational since 2019. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
			Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		
Thanet Offshore Windfarm	expected to be the considered project Disturbability Subsciences		Not significant Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables intersect the Offshore Scheme at KP 107.6 and KP 107.6. Thanet Offshore Windfarm has been fully operational since 2010. Therefore, it is not anticipated that there will be any cumulative impacts from construction phase activities. Effects from EMF and thermal emissions on benthic ecology receptors associated with the Proposed Project were concluded to be extremely limited and of a negligible magnitude (Application Document 6.2.4.2 Part 4 Marine Chapter 2 Benthic Ecology). Therefore, any cumulative effects will be local and small in scale so not considered to be significant.		No significant cumulative effect

Table 11.19 Fish and Shellfish CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on sha receptors from 'other develop	n the	Assessment of C	umulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
NeuConnect	• T p d h s l te in a d l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	ict pathways are: femporary hysical isturbance to abitats and pecies; emporary ncrease in SSC nd sediment eposition; nderwater ound; ermanent loss of sh and shellfish abitat due to lacement of hard ubstrates on the eabed; isturbance to fish nd shellfish due o subsea cable nermal missions; and	The Properties of HVDC callocation, expected crossing This will in placement mattress loss of hacrossing subsequents been this Project addressed Any additional to occur and the same loss of substat, in not consist important the same be affect.	che NeuConnect che Ne	No further cumula required.	tive mitigation	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on sh receptors fro 'other develo	m the	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	1	effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions.	southern Therefore habitat is very mind widespre- suitable s Similarly, physical o expected localised	nabitat is ad in the central and North Sea. e, any small loss of considered to be or compared to the ad availability of sandeel habitat. any temporary disturbance is also to be minor and compared to the ilability of habitat.		

by coarse sands which are expected to deposit within a very localised area of the disturbance, between 24 hours and 14 days following

the disturbance event.
Underwater sound is

expected to be produced by the Proposed Project and NeuConnect. However, for the Proposed Project, only underwater sound produced by geophysical surveys is expected to be perceptible to

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?	
---------	---	---	----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------	--

fish, which is considered to be very small scale. Furthermore, due to the very short period over which underwater sound is likely to be produced, this is not expected to overlap with underwater sound production from any other developments.

During operation, there is also the potential for cumulative effects resulting from EMF emissions at cable crossings. Whilst it is acknowledged that at crossings with other power cables, the potential increase in EMF is higher, the area where cables cross and interact is very small and as EMF reduces with distance any increase is also expected to be highly localised.

Similarly, small increases in thermal effects are also expected. However, the sea water in the North Sea varies seasonally and therefore it is

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of C	umulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
		cumulat increase will be a	ted that small ive temperature es at cable crossing ccommodated by fish llfish receptors.	1		
GridLink Inte	erconnector	cumulat construct phases minor, lo and with fluctuation accomm	nificant to the above, any tive effects during the ction and operation are expected to be ocalised, small scale, hin normal ons which can be nodated by fish and receptors.	No further cumula required.	tive mitigation	No significant cumulative effect
North Falls C Windfarm	Offshore	cumulat construct phases minor, lo and with fluctuation accomm	nificant to the above, any live effects during the ction and operation are expected to be localised, small scale, lin normal lons which can be localed by fish and receptors.	No further cumula required.	itive mitigation	No significant cumulative effect
East Anglia (Offshore Win		ct pathway is: Not sign	nificant	No further cumula required.	tive mitigation	No significant

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on share receptors from the formal of the following the followin	he	ets Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
East Anglia TW Offshore Windt		sediment E. th 0. Pi la ar a 3. TI so de ve di pr m Fi ar av ok ef ur Al a	ne subsea cable corridor for A1N, which is the same as at for EA2, is approximately 4 km from the Proposed roject near the Suffolk ndfall. The two corridors within 1.5 km of each for distance of approximately 5 km. There is the potential for ome overlap in sediment eposition, but the area is ery limited and mostly at a stance where dilutionary rocesses result in only inimal sediment deposition. The sediments deposited re also likely to winnow way over time such that any observable cumulative fects are extremely fects are extremely hilkely. If other pathways are within few metres of the activity and so there is no potential roverlap in effects.		cumulative
East Anglia THREE	The key impact pathw	ays are:	Not significant The Proposed Project crosses the East Anglia THREE export cable	No further cumulative	No significant

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Offshore Windfarm	 temporary sediment of temporary sed	t loss of fish and shellfish e to placement of hard on the seabed; te to fish and shellfish due cable thermal emissions; on electromagnetic field	corridor at one location, where there is expected to be cable crossing protection required. This will involve either rock placement and/or addition of mattresses. The crossing of cables has been accounted for in this Project's design and addressed as part of the ES. Any additional permanent habitat loss is only expected to occur within small areas. This may result in a small loss of suitable herring habitat, however this is not considered to represent important herring grounds. The sandeel habitat likely to be affected is considered important, but suitable sandeel habitat is widespread in the central and southern North Sea. Therefore, any small loss of habitat is considered to be very minor compared to the widespread availability of suitable sandeel habitat. Similarly, any temporary physical disturbance is also expected to be minor and localised compared to the wide availability of habitat. Any increase in SSC and sediment deposition is considered to be dominated by coarse sands which are expected to deposit within a very		cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			localised area of the disturbance, between 24 hours and 14 days following the disturbance event.		
			Underwater sound is expected to be produced by the Proposed Project and East Anglia THREE. However, for the Proposed Project, only underwater sound produced by geophysical surveys is expected to be perceptible to fish, which is considered to be very small scale. Furthermore, due to the very short period over which underwater sound is likely to be produced, this is not expected to overlap with underwater sound production from any other developments. During operation, there is also the potential for cumulative effects resulting from EMF emissions at cable crossings. Whilst it is acknowledged that at crossings with other power cables, the potential increase in EMF is higher, the area where cables cross and interact is very small and as EMF reduces with distance any increase is also expected to be highly localised.		
			Similarly, small increases in thermal effects are also expected. However,		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			the sea water in the North Sea varies seasonally and therefore it is anticipated that small cumulative temperature increases at cable crossing will be accommodated by fish and shellfish receptors.		
Nautilus Offshore Interconnector	The key impact pathw • Temporary increadeposition.	vay is: ise in SSC and sediment	Not significant The Nautilus potential routing options currently overlap with the Offshore Scheme at the Suffolk landfall, but the cables are unlikely to cross. There is the potential for small increases in SSC and sediment deposition if the two cables are installed simultaneously. However, increased SSC and sediment deposition is expected to be dominated by coarse sediments which are expected to deposit within close proximity. Any fines are likely to winnow away over time such that any observable cumulative effects are extremely unlikely.	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	•	yays are: ny physical disturbance to nd species;	Not significant The Proposed Project crosses the Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm export cable corridor at one location,	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	temporary	/ increase in SSC and	where there is expected to be cable		

- temporary increase in SSC and sediment deposition;
- underwater sound;
- permanent loss of fish and shellfish habitat due to placement of hard substrates on the seabed;
- disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions; and
- effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions.

crossing protection required. This will involve either rock placement and/or addition of mattresses. The crossing of cables has been accounted for in this Project's design and addressed as part of the ES. Any additional permanent habitat loss is only expected to occur within small areas. This may result in a small loss of suitable herring habitat, however this is not considered to represent important herring grounds. The sandeel habitat likely to be affected is considered important, but suitable sandeel habitat is widespread in the central and southern North Sea. Therefore, any small loss of habitat is considered to be very minor compared to the widespread availability of suitable sandeel habitat. Similarly, any temporary physical disturbance is also expected to be minor and localised compared to the wide availability of habitat. Any increase in SSC and sediment deposition is considered to be dominated by coarse sands which are expected to deposit within a very localised area of the disturbance.

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			between 24 hours and 14 days following the disturbance event.		
			Underwater sound is expected to be produced by the Proposed Project and Five Estuaries. However, for the Proposed Project, only underwater sound produced by geophysical surveys is expected to be perceptible to fish, which is considered to be very small scale. Furthermore, due to the very short period over which underwater sound is likely to be produced, this is not expected to overlap with underwater sound production from any other developments. During operation, there is also the potential for cumulative effects resulting from EMF emissions at cable crossings. Whilst it is acknowledged that at crossings with other power cables, the potential increase in EMF is higher, the area where cables cross and interact is very small and as EMF reduces with distance any increase is also expected to be highly localised. Similarly, small increases in thermal		
			effects are also expected. However, the sea water in the North Sea varies		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on sha receptors from 'other develop	n the	Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			seasonally and th anticipated that sr temperature incre crossing will be a fish and shellfish	mall cumulative eases at cable ccommodated by		
Lionlink Offshore Interconnector		vay is: ry increase in SSC deposition.	Proposed Project cross. However, t cumulative increa sediment depositi developments are simultaneously. H SSC and sediment expected to be do sediments which deposit within close	are unlikely to here is potential for ses in SSC and on if the two e installed lowever, increased nt deposition is ominated by coarse are expected to se proximity. Any winnow away over y observable	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
NEMO Link	• Di		Not Significant As Nemo Link and Thanet Offshore Windfarm are already operational,	No further cumula required.	tive mitigation	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	e receptors fro	m the	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Thanet Offshore Windfarm	•	cable thermal emissions; and effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions.	have not Howeve intersect Scheme cumulating and there occur. Hacknowl crossing cables, to in EMF in the where can interact EMF recomplished some capecter of the water in seasonal anticipate cumulating increase will be a	tion phase impacts t been assessed. r, both developments t the Offshore and therefore small ve increases in EMF mal emissions may owever, whilst it is edged that at s with other power the potential increase s higher, the area ables cross and is very small and as luces with distance ease is also d to be highly d. r, small increases in effects are also d. However, the sea the North Sea varies ally and therefore it is the distance the standard of the sea the standard of the sea the North Sea varies and the sea the that small the temperature the standard of the sea the standard o		

Table 11.20 Marine Mammals CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?	
Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant		ey impact pathways are: • Underwater sound; and		No further cumulative	No significant cumulative effect	
NeuConnect		 indirect effects from impacts to prey species. 	JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is	mitigation required.		
North Falls Offshore Windfarm	prey sp	ecies.	likely to endure for only a very short amount of time.			
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm			cumulative effects are not likely, and the			
East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm			underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not			
East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm			considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in			
Nautilus Offshore Interconnector			relation to prey items as the effect of the			
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm			Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible.			
Lionlink Offshore Interconnector			No Cumulative Effects anticipated			
GridLink		ys are: vater sound; e sound; and	As both projects will be adopting standard JNCC guidance, and the spatial overlap is	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect	

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	indirect prey sp	effects from impacts to ecies.	only a very short amount of time, cumulative effects are not likely, and the underwater noise pathway is screened out. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is predicted to be negligible. No Cumulative Effects anticipated		
NEMO Link		effects from impacts to	The key impact	No further	No significant
Thanet Offshore Windfarm	prey sp	ecies.	pathway for these developments is indirect effects from impacts to prey species. It is not considered likely that there could be any cumulative effect in relation to prey items as the effect of the Proposed Project is	cumulative mitigation required.	cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			predicted to be negligible.		
			No Cumulative Effects anticipated		

Table 11.21 Marine Ornithology CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant	vessels (and thus s		Simultaneous construction activities	To reduce impacts on red-throated diver,	No significant cumulative effect
NeuConnect	,	as supporting species ance, in particular red-	from the Proposed Project and the other	which are considered to be the most	
GridLink	throated diver from	cable installation.	development in and around the marine	sensitive species, National Grid has	
North Falls Offshore Windfarm			environment could collectively increase	committed to implementing a full	
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm			disturbance levels for red throated diver. This combined impact is expected to result	seasonal restriction between 1st November – 31st March for Proposed	
East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm			in additional or exacerbated effects on the red throated	Project offshore cable installation activities in the Outer Thames	
East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm			diver, surpassing the individual effects	Estuary SPA and a reduced seasonal	
Nautilus Offshore Interconnector			previously identified within Application Document 6.2.4.5	restriction between 1st January – 31st March for landfall	
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm			Part 4 Marine Chapter 5 Marine Ornithology.	cable installation activities at the Suffolk Landfall in	
Lionlink Offshore Interconnector			As a result, there is potential for a cumulative moderate adverse (significant)	Aldeburgh. Therefore, there will be no likely significant effect during the	

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			effect on red throated diver	construction phase or the operation phase.	

Table 11.22 Marine Archaeology CEA

All potential cumulative effects were screened out at Stage 2 for all other development. As such, no effects have been carried through to Stage 4.

Table 11.23 Shipping and Navigation CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
NeuConnect		act pathways are:	It is unlikely for projects to be installing at the same place at the same time. Any cumulative effects during	No further cumulative	No significant cumulative
North Falls Offshore Windfarm	Passing vest categories: (to loss of life damage to e	Collisions leading and major	the construction phase are expected to be minor, localised and/or temporary in nature, therefore no significant cumulative effect is expected in this regard.	mitigation required beyond mitigations already described.	effect
Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	affected by t Scheme: Dis vessels usin	routes and areas he Offshore sruption to multiple g established ireas due activities	Regarding under-keel clearance (UKC), potential cable crossings with these projects and Sea Link would occur between the lanes of the Sunk Traffic Separation Scheme South, and therefore in proximity to high levels of vessel activity, which includes the presence of large draft vessels (over 15m draught). In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing in this location could potentially reduce under-keel clearance beyond		
	_	essels: Vessel r across exposed	the MCA's 5% requirement, which is for developers to avoid reduction in surrounding charted water depths by more than 5% with reference to Chart Datum. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested. In a more realistic scenario, with careful		
	Fishing vess snagging	sels: Gear	crossing design, the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or near to the MCA's 5% requirement.		
	Reduction in Clearance	Under-Keel	The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any issues may arise. The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. Cable crossings		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	magnetic compass: EMF		with these three projects fall outside the Port of London's Area of Safeguarded Depth "Sunk Pilot Boarding Area".		
			Potential impacts of this reduction in UKC could be the introduction of a seabed hazard, however, pilots utilising the Sunk TSS in particular large draft vessels would be very well versed in navigating these waters, very well trained and skilled, and would pay close attention to charted water depths, and as such would not route through specific areas where water depth is insufficient for their vessels, and would instead utilise different routes. Therefore, in terms of likely significant effects, potential for vessel collision impacts is considered low.		
			Reduction in UKC due to a cable crossing in this location is unlikely to result in disruption to large vessels routeing in this area, as the potential crossing locations occur between the Sunk TSS lanes and in sufficiently deep water (approx. between 25m-28m LAT); this impact should therefore be minimal.		
			Any cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. This solution would be co-engineered		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			with the other developments and would be designed to minimise the height of rock berms / protection structures but maintain required protection levels, to ensure any potential impacts are minimised. Ensuring the as-built locations of the cable and any external protection is provided to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to key ports and harbours, to ensure awareness of any such locations, is a key mitigation for any areas where under-keel clearance many be reduced. Notice to Mariners and notification of marine authorities will likewise reduce the risks of shipping and navigation impacts.		
			The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plans (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan (NIP)) in order to reduce risk of collisions, vessel treffic		

The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plans (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan (NIP)) in order to reduce risk of collisions, vessel traffic disruption, vessels anchoring, and fishing vessels gear snagging, as well as to communicate with other projects to reduce impact of any potential reduction in under-keel clearance. The Applicant submitted a draft Outline NIP to PINS on 1 September 2025, as part of the Applicant's response to the ExA's s89(3) letter dated 5 August 2025. Potential EMF effects are considered to be minimal. Other projects are also assumed to be applying best practice guidance in order to mitigate potential impacts to shipping and

Project	Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the Proposed development Project		Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
		navigation, therefore significant cumulative effects through these pathways are considered unlikely.		
		Vessels navigating in the region are expected to follow standard guidance and avoid fishing over cables, therefore no cumulative effect is foreseen for this impact pathway.		
		No significant cumulative effect.		
GridLink Interconnect or	Shared impact pathways a Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions lead to loss of life and major damage to equipment	same place at the same time. Any cumulative effects	No further cumulative mitigation required beyond mitigations already described.	No significant cumulative effect
	Vessel frequently using established routes and are affected by the Offshore Scheme: Disruption to mulvessels using established routes and areas due activof the Offshore Scheme	cable crossing between GridLink and Sea Link would occur at approximately KP 101, within an area of moderate vessel activity, comprising mainly medium		
	Anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposable	slightly longer journey times as they route around the crossing location. Sea room at this location is relatively constrained due to the presence of Thanet Windfarm to the northeast. In a worst-case scenario a cable	,	

Project	Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed developments' Project	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	Fishing vessels: Gear snagging Reduction in Under-Keel Clearance Vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment	crossing in this location could potentially reduce underkeel clearance beyond the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested. In a more realistic scenario, with careful crossing design, the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or near to the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any issues may arise. The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. This crossing with GridLink would fall within the PLA's "NE Spit area" of Safeguarded Depth, where they require 12.5m to ensure future access to ports for deep draught vessels. The Applicant has engaged with GridLink and is satisfied that it has a solution to ensure that the 12.5 m depth is preserved even at the GridLink crossing location, by moving the planned Sea Link cable route at this point into deeper waters to the east (while still within the Order Limits) ensuring sufficient water depth above the expected crossing location. The Applicant had kept the Order Limits wide here to enable such solutions to be possible. Potential impacts of this reduction in UKC could be the introduction of a seabed hazard, however, pilots of larger draught vessels within this area would be very		

Project	shared receptors from the	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
---------	---------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

well versed in navigating these waters, very well trained and skilled, and would pay close attention to charted water depths, and as such would not route through specific areas where water depth is insufficient for their vessels, and would instead utilise different routes. Therefore, in terms of likely significant effects, potential for vessel collision impacts is considered low.

The cable crossing will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. This solution would be co-engineered with GridLink and would be designed to minimise the height of rock berms / protection structures but maintain required protection levels, to ensure any potential impacts are minimised. Ensuring the as-built locations of the cable and any external protection is provided to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to key ports and harbours, to ensure awareness of the location, is a key mitigation for any areas where underkeel clearance many be reduced. Notice to Mariners and notification of marine authorities will likewise reduce the risks of shipping and navigation impacts.

The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plans (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			(NIP)) in order to reduce risk of collisions, vessel traffic disruption, vessels anchoring, and fishing vessels gear snagging, as well as to communicate with other projects to reduce impact of any potential reduction in under-keel clearance. The Applicant submitted a draft Outline NIP to PINS on 1 September 2025, as part of the Applicant's response to the ExA's s89(3) letter dated 5 August 2025. Potential EMF effects are considered to be minimal. Other projects are also assumed to be applying best practice guidance in order to mitigate potential impacts to shipping and navigation, therefore significant cumulative effects through these pathways are considered unlikely. Vessels navigating in the region are expected to follow standard guidance and avoid fishing over cables, therefore no cumulative effect is foreseen for this impact pathway. No significant cumulative effect.		
Nautilus Offshore Interconnect or	Passing vess	Collisions leading and major	It is unlikely for both projects to be installing at the same place at the same time. Any cumulative effects during the construction phase are expected to be minor, localised and/or temporary in nature, therefore no significant cumulative effect is expected in this regard.	No further cumulative mitigation required beyond mitigations already described.	No significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from from the the 'other Proposed developments' Project	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	Vessel frequently using established routes and areas affected by the Offshore Scheme: Disruption to multipl vessels using established routes and areas due activitie of the Offshore Scheme Anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable	The expected location of the crossing between Nautilus Offshore Interconnector and Sea Link is in proximity to the Tongue Pilot Boarding Station, which is located over 1km to the southeast. The Applicant acknowledges the importance of avoiding disruption to pilotage. The Applicant will seek the safest and most efficient methods within its means to minimise disruption at this location, and will collaborate with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector to ensure that this can be achieved.		
	Fishing vessels: Gear snagging Reduction in Under-Keel Clearance Vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment	Regarding under-keel clearance (UKC), a potential cable crossing between Nautilus Offshore Interconnector and Sea Link would occur at approximately KP 88.5, within an area of generally high vessel activity, including small and medium draught vessels. Water depth at the estimated cable crossing location is approximately 20.5m. Reduction in UKC due to a cable crossing in this location could result in disruption to larger vessels routeing in this area, possibly causing slightly longer journey times as they route around the crossing location.		
		In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing in this location could potentially reduce under-keel clearance beyond the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested. In a more realistic scenario, with careful crossing		

Project Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed developme Project		Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?	
---	--	---	-----------------------------	--

design, the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or near to the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any issues may arise.

The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. This crossing with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector would fall within the PLA's "NE Spit area" of Safeguarded Depth, where they require 12.5m to ensure future access to ports for deep draught vessels. The Applicant deems that the water depth at this location of approximately 20.5m is wholly sufficient in order to meet the Port of London's water depth requirement at this potential crossing.

Potential impacts of this reduction in UKC could be the introduction of a seabed hazard, however, pilots of larger draught vessels within this area would be very well versed in navigating these waters, very well trained and skilled, and would pay close attention to charted water depths, and as such would not route through specific areas where water depth is insufficient for their vessels, and would instead utilise different routes. Therefore, in terms of likely significant effects, potential for vessel collision impacts is considered low.

share recep from	otors receptors from the the 'other osed developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

The cable crossing will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. This solution would be co-engineered with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector and would be designed to minimise the height of rock berms / protection structures but maintain required protection levels, to ensure any potential impacts are minimised. Ensuring the as-built locations of the cable and any external protection is provided to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to key ports and harbours, to ensure awareness of the location, is a key mitigation for any areas where under-keel clearance many be reduced. Notice to Mariners and notification of marine authorities will likewise reduce the risks of shipping and navigation impacts.

The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plans (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan (NIP)) in order to reduce risk of collisions, vessel traffic disruption, vessels anchoring, and fishing vessels gear snagging, as well as to communicate with other projects to reduce impact of any potential reduction in under-keel clearance. The Applicant submitted a draft Outline NIP to PINS on 1 September 2025, as part of the Applicant's response to the ExA's s89(3) letter dated 5 August 2025. Potential EMF effects are

Project	Effects on Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed developments Project		Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
		considered to be minimal. Other projects are also assumed to be applying best practice guidance in order to mitigate potential impacts to shipping and navigation, therefore significant cumulative effects through these pathways are considered unlikely.		
		Vessels navigating in the region are expected to follow standard guidance and avoid fishing over cables, therefore no cumulative effect is foreseen for this impact pathway.		
		No significant cumulative effect.		
East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	Shared impact pathways are Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions leadin to loss of life and major damage to equipment	same place at the same time. Any cumulative effects	No further cumulative mitigation required beyond mitigations already described.	
	Vessel frequently using established routes and area affected by the Offshore Scheme: Disruption to multiple vessels using established routes and area due activities of the Offshore Scheme	Windfarm export cable and Sea Link would occur between approximately KP 11 and KP 14, within water depths of approximately 18 m, within an area of relatively low vessel activity (compared with the wider		

Project	Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed developments' Project	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	Anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across exposed cable Fishing vessels: Gear snagging Reduction in Under-Keel Clearance Vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment	disruption to larger vessels routeing in this area, possibly causing slightly longer journey times as they route around the crossing location. In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing in this location could potentially reduce under-keel clearance beyond the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested. In a more realistic scenario, with careful crossing design, the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or near to the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any issues may arise. The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. A cable crossing with East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm export cable would fall outside of the Port of London's three Areas of Safeguarded Depth.	I	
		Potential impacts of this reduction in UKC could be the introduction of a seabed hazard, however, pilots of larger draft vessels within this area would be very well versed in navigating these waters, very well trained and skilled, and would pay close attention to charted water depths, and as such would not route through specific areas where water depth is insufficient for their vessels		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			and would instead utilise different routes. Therefore, in terms of likely significant effects, potential for vessel collision impacts is considered low.		
			The cable crossing will be undertaken using agreed		

crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. This solution would be co-engineered with East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm and would be designed to minimise the height of rock berms / protection structures but maintain required protection levels, to ensure any potential impacts are minimised. Ensuring the as-built locations of the cable and any external protection is provided to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to key ports and harbours, to ensure awareness of the location, is a key mitigation for any areas where under-keel clearance many be reduced. Notice to Mariners and notification of marine authorities will likewise reduce the risks of shipping and navigation impacts.

The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plans (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan (NIP)) in order to reduce risk of collisions, vessel traffic disruption, vessels anchoring, and fishing vessels gear snagging, as well as to communicate with other projects to reduce impact of any potential reduction in

Project	Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed development Project		Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
		under-keel clearance. The Applicant submitted a draft Outline NIP to PINS on 1 September 2025, as part of the Applicant's response to the ExA's s89(3) letter dated 5 August 2025. Potential EMF effects are considered to be minimal. Other projects are also assumed to be applying best practice guidance in orde to mitigate potential impacts to shipping and navigation therefore significant cumulative effects through these pathways are considered unlikely. Vessels navigating in the region are expected to follow standard guidance and avoid fishing over cables, therefore no cumulative effect is foreseen for this impact pathway.	,	
		No significant cumulative effect.		
NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Shared impact pathways a Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions lead to loss of life and major damage to equipment	have already been constructed, there is reduced	No further cumulative mitigation required.	No significant cumulative effect
	Vessel frequently using established routes and are affected by the Offshore Scheme: Disruption to multiple vessels using	Any cable crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs in accordance with the crossing agreements with the third-party owners and will ensure separation between the assets and protection over the installed cables. These solutions would be coengineered with the other developments and would be		

Project	Effects on Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from from the the 'other Proposed developments' Project	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme	designed to minimise the height of rock berms / protection structures but maintain required protection levels, to ensure any potential impacts are minimised. In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing in this		
	Anchoring vessels: Vessel drags anchor across expose cable	location could potentially reduce under-keel clearance		
	Fishing vessels: Gear snagging	the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or near to the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any		
	Reduction in Under-Keel Clearance	issues may arise.		
	Vessels navigating with magnetic compass: EMF Interference with marine navigational equipment	The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. Cable crossings with these projects fall outside of the Port of London's three Areas of Safeguarded Depth.		
		Ensuring the as-built locations of the cable and any external protection is provided to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to key ports and harbours, to ensure awareness of the location, is a key mitigation for any areas where under-keel clearance many be reduced. Notice to Mariners and notification of marine authorities will likewise reduce the risks of shipping and navigation impacts.		

Project	Effects on shared shared receptors receptors from the the 'other Proposed development Project		Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?	
		The Proposed Project has established mitigation measures including production of communication plar (in the form of a Navigation Installation Plan (NIP)) in order to reduce risks to shipping and navigation, as was to communicate with other projects to reduce impart of any potential reduction in under-keel clearance. The Applicant submitted a draft Outline NIP to PINS on 1 September 2025, as part of the Applicant's response the ExA's s89(3) letter dated 5 August 2025. Potential EMF effects are considered to be minimal. Vessels navigating in the region are expected to follow standard guidance and avoid fishing over cables, therefore no cumulative effect is foreseen for this impact pathway.	rell act e to I		
		No significant cumulative effect.			
East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm East Anglia	Shared impact pathways a Passing vessels of all categories: Collisions lead to loss of life and major damage to equipment	measures including production of communication plan	mitigation required. P	No significant cumulative effect	
TWO Offshore Windfarm	Vessel frequently using established routes and are affected by the Offshore Scheme: Disruption to	August 2025	o		

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2	multiple vessels using established routes and areas due activities of the Offshore Scheme		Any cumulative effects during the construction phase are expected to be minor, localised and/or temporary in nature, therefore no significant cumulative effect is expected.	1	
			No significant cumulative effect.		

Table 11.24 Commercial Fisheries CEA

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
GridLink Interconnector	Mobile gear fisheries: dredgers; beam and demersal trawlers; seiners (Operation Phase:		Cable protection will occupy a small proportion of fishing grounds as	No further cumulative mitigation required.	Minor significance of effect – no

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
North Falls Offshore Windfarm East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm Lionlink NEMO Link	Displacement activities)	t of commercial fishing	identified through fisher consultations and supported by vessel sightings records. The design of cable the cable protection implemented by the Proposed Project is design to reduce snagging risk for towed gear. It is assumed that this will also be the case for other projects. Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect		significant cumulative effect
	(Constructio	sheries: pots and traps in Phase: Temporary ration of fishing	Should exclusion zones around the cable installation works be implemented at the same time within close proximity and/or within the same fishing grounds, there is potential for the temporary loss of fishing grounds to have a cumulative effect of moderate significance for static gear fisheries receptors.	Additional mitigation beyond the Proposed Project's embedded mitigation, and design and control measures: Timings of any temporary areas of exclusion from fishing grounds will be clearly communicated via a notice to mariners. Early and regular fisheries engagement.	Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
	Static gear fisheries: pots and traps (Construction Phase: Temporary displacement of commercial fishing activities and obstruction of navigation routes to commercial fishing grounds)		For each individual Project the exclusion zone around the cable installation works is likely to temporarily displace fishers to other parts of their fishing grounds, likely having a minor significance of effect for static gear fisheries. However, should both exclusion zones be implemented at the same time within close proximity and/or within the same fishing grounds, there is potential for cumulative effects of moderate significance to occur.	A procedure for the claim of loss of/or damage to fishing gear will be developed. A Fisheries Liaison Officer (FLO) and fisheries working group(s) will be maintained throughout installation to ensure project information is effectively disseminated, dialogue is maintained with the commercial fishing industry and access to home ports is maintained.	Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect
	nets (Constr	sheries: fixed and drift ruction Phase : ess and alteration of ds)	Should exclusion zones around the cable installation works be implemented at the same time within close proximity and/or within the same fishing grounds, there is potential for the temporary loss of fishing grounds to		Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			have a cumulative effect of moderate significance for static gear fisheries receptors.		
	Static gear fisheries: fixed and drift nets (Construction Phase: Temporary displacement of commercial fishing activities and obstruction of navigation routes to commercial fishing grounds)		For each individual Project the exclusion zone around the cable installation works is likely to temporarily displace fishers to other parts of their fishing grounds, likely having a minor significance of effect for static gear fisheries. However, should both exclusion zones be implemented at the same time within close proximity and/or within the same fishing grounds, there is potential for cumulative effects of moderate significance to occur.		Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect
	nets (Operat	sheries: fixed and drift tion Phase: t of commercial fishing	Despite the design of cable protection, the presence of cable protection still represents some snagging risk for	As above, with the addition of: Communication and collaboration between developers.	Minor significance of effect – No significant

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			drift net fisheries. Unlike mobile gear fisheries, drift netters do to not have the same level control to avoid areas which may present a risk to their gear. This could lead to concentrated effort and increased competition in other fishing grounds. For each individual Project this risk is extremely localised and represents a small proportion of fishing grounds as identified through fisher consultations and supported by vessel sightings records. In isolation this is considered a to have a minor significance of effect. However, as more cables are installed, and the number of crossings, and therefore locations with cable protection increases, this has potential to have a		cumulative

Project	Effects on shared receptors from the Proposed Project	Effects on shared receptors from the 'other developments'	Assessment of Cumulative Effects	Additional mitigation required for cumulative effect?	Residual cumulative effect?
			moderate significance of impact, cumulatively.		

Table 11.25 Other Sea Users CEA

All potential cumulative effects were screened out at Stage 2 for all other development. As such, no effects have been carried through to Stage 4.

11.3 Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

- The stage 4 assessment above provides a cumulative assessment for each topic with each of the other individual developments taken through to stage 3 and 4 as per the Planning Inspectorate's 'Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment' guidance (Planning Inspectorate, 2024). Due to the large number of other developments assessed a further preliminary assessment has been undertaken which considers an overall cumulative effect with the Proposed Project with all other developments together on shared receptors. This overall assessment per topic is provided in the tables below.
- It should be noted that any developments above MHWS will be considered and assessed within Application Document 6.2.2.13 Suffolk Onshore Scheme Inter-Project Cumulative Effects and Application Document 6.2.3.13 Kent Onshore Scheme Inter-Project Cumulative Effects.

Table 11.26 Physical Environment Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Water column	Neuconnect	Should multiple/all project construction operations occur simultaneously, this will likely cause a substantial increase in levels of suspended	Not Significant
	GridLink Interconnector	sediment concentrations. This may also result in increased levels of contaminants becoming mobilised from the seabed, potentially reducing water quality across a wide area.	
	North Falls Offshore Windfarm	The impact will be temporary as the coarser sediment fractions (gravel and coarse sand) ejected into the water column, will be lifted a few	
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	metres and will subsequently re-deposit either directly back into the trench or within a few metres of each development within timescales in the order of seconds to tens of seconds.	
	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	The finer fractions (including fine sands, silts and clays) will be transported further by prevailing tides and currents, this will cause SSC levels to reduce across the wide area associated with all the developments listed in the shortlist, as the particles are dispersed	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	through the water column and diluted.	
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector	The impact of increased suspended sediment concentration and turbidity, and the potential for reduced water quality could result in a Significant impact should all the projects simultaneously carry out their construction operations.	
	Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	However, each project is expected to implement similar mitigation to the Proposed Project's Offshore Scheme in order to avoid or reduce impacts to the physical environment and Physical Environment and also	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	Lionlink Offshore Interconnector Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2	avoid simultaneous construction activities that could lead to large amounts of sediment disturbance. Therefore, the cumulative impact is assessed as Not Significant .	
Seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features	_	The wider area of seafloor morphology and seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms) may be altered during the simultaneous installation activities (sand wave levelling and pre-sweeping activities).	Not Significant
		However, seafloor and bedform recovery is expected via natural sediment transport processes once activities have stopped. The developments have undergone EIAs with suitable mitigation measures recommended and therefore any impacts will be negligible.	
Coraline Crag Ridges	Sizewell C Nuclear Power Plant East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	These projects are in close proximity to the Coraline Crag Ridges. The cumulative impact of the projects on this geological feature may be to change the surficial sediment composition of the Ridges as the combined amount of sediment suspended during cable burial operations are transported and deposited onto the ridges. The impact is likely to be temporary as the finer sediment is likely to be re-suspended under higher current speeds or storm wave activity. However, some small, localized zones that are sheltered from current and wave action may	Not Significant
	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	experience permanent change to the sediment composition.	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	To install the export cable using HDD techniques to minimise disturbance south of the Coraline Crag ridges and at landfall; and	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
		 selection of the preferred landfall location for the export cable towards the southern end of the offshore cable corridor boundary that avoids the Coraline Crags outcrop. 	
		Based on these commitments, the impact on the Coraline Crag sedimentary composition is likely to have no significant cumulative effect.	

Table 11.27 Benthic Ecology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects	
Benthic ecology	Sizewell C Nuclear Power Station	Crossings are included as part of the project design and do not constitute cumulative effects. Any additional permanent habitat loss is only expected to	Not significant	
	Neuconnect	occur within localised areas for the other projects (mostly at other crossings) and will not result in a significant loss of habitat cumulatively. Similarly, any temporary physical disturbance is also expected to be minor and localised compared to the wide availability of habitat. Any increase in SSC and sediment deposition is expected to deposit within a very localised area of the disturbance, given the coarse nature of the sediment		
	GridLink Interconnector			
	North Falls Offshore Windfarm			
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	within the Study Area. It is unlikely that disturbance will occur at the same time, but if it did, it would return to background levels within very short timescales.		

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	relevant developments. However, although it is acknowledged that there is the potential for increased EMF and heat emissions at cable crossings, the effects will be highly localised and limited. The number of crossings, and therefore the area over which EMF and thermal emissions could be increased, is considered to be small.	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm		
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector		
	Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm		
	Lionlink Offshore Interconnector		
	NEMO Link		
Thanet Offs Windfarm	Thanet Offshore Windfarm		

Table 11.28 Fish and Shellfish Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Fish and shellfish	Sizewell C Nuclear Power Station	Crossings are included as part of the project design and do not constitute cumulative effects. Any additional permanent habitat loss is only expected to occur within localised areas for the other projects (mostly at other crossings)	Not Significant
	Neuconnect	and will not result in a significant loss of habitat cumulatively.	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	GridLink Interconnector	Any increase in SSC and sediment deposition is expected to deposit within a	
	North Falls Offshore Windfarm	very localised area of the disturbance, given the coarse nature of the sediment within the Study Area. It is unlikely that disturbance will occur at the same time, but if it did, it would return to background levels within very short timescales.	
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm	Any physical disturbance from the short-list projects will be localised to the cable installation activities of these projects. The Study Area is not considered to	
	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	represent important herring spawning grounds. The sandeel habitat likely to be affected is considered important, but suitable sandeel habitat is widespread in the central and southern North Sea. Therefore, any small loss of habitat is considered to be very minor compared to the widespread availability of suitable sandeel habitat. Similarly, any temporary physical disturbance is also expected to be minor and localised compared to the wide availability of habitat. Underwater sound is expected to be produced during the construction phase by	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm		
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector		
	Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	all other developments and the Offshore Scheme. During construction of the Offshore Scheme, the only underwater sound perceptible to fish will be	
	Lionlink Offshore Interconnector	 produced during the geophysical surveys, which are small-scale and minor. Furthermore, effects to fish are only considered to occur within 50 m of the sound source. This is expected to be similar for the relevant other 	
	NEMO Link	developments. Underwater sound production is also expected to be very short- term and temporary, and therefore the potential for overlap between sound-	
	Thanet Offshore Windfarm	producing activities for all relevant other developments is considered to be negligible.	
		Disturbance to fish and shellfish due to subsea cable thermal emissions and effects from electromagnetic field (EMF) emissions are also expected to occur during the operational phases of all relevant developments. However, although it is acknowledged that there is the potential for increased EMF and heat	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	emissions at cable crossings, the effects will be highly localised and limited. The number of crossings, and therefore the area over which EMF and thermal emissions could be increased, is considered to be small compared to overall available and suitable fish habitat in the North Sea. Furthermore, sea water in the North Sea varies seasonally with natural fluctuations in temperature. Therefore, fish are expected to be able to accommodate small changes in EMF and thermal emissions.		

Table 11.29 Marine Mammal Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Marine Mammals	Sizewell C Nuclear Power Station	Overlaps in construction phases may result in cumulative underwater sound (UWS) or airborne sound disturbance. However, the maximum ZOI for	Not Significant
	Neuconnect	disturbance from UWS produced during construction or maintenance during the operational phase is 5 km. Considering the standard mitigation measures required for activities involving underwater sound, disturbance is expected to be low level avoidance. These standard mitigation measures include the presence of a suitably qualified Marine mammal observer (MMO) and passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) operator (if applicable) on any vessel undertaking SBP survey activities, as per the 2017 "JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from geophysical survey" (JNCC, 2017) (please refer to Application Document 7.5.11 Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan for further detail). As a result of the application of this mitigation plan, the effects reported in Application Document 6.2.4.4 Marine Mammals, are reported as negligible. Therefore,	
	GridLink Interconnector		
	North Falls Offshore Windfarm		
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm		
	East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm		

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	ffshore Windfarm relevant developments such that a significant cumulative effect could occur.	
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector	Please refer to Table 5.4 Inter-project cumulative effects - indicative screening matrix within Application Document 6.3.1.5.A Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodologies which concludes that where the	
	Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	significance for one of the developments is negligible there is no potential for cumulative effects as negligible effects have no realistic potential to be	
	Lionlink Offshore Interconnector	 additive. In addition, it can be reasonably assumed that other relevant developments will follow the JNCC guidelines, similar to the Proposed Projects actions and 	
	NEMO Link	commitments (see Application Document 7.5.3.2 CEMP Appendix B	
	Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC), for the control and management measures in relation to the JNCC guidelines for marine mammals).	
		For indirect effects from impacts to prey species, effects from increased SSC, thermal emissions or EMF emissions have been assessed as not significant to fish species. Therefore, it is unlikely that there will be any indirect effects to marine mammals which may prey upon these species.	

Table 11.30 Marine Ornithology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Ornithological features	Due to the implementation of seasonal restrictions within the Outer Thames Estuary SPA during the construction phase (with the exception of pre-lay grapnel run) (this restriction measure is outlined within Application	No cumulative effects are expected	Not significant

Document 7.5.3.2 CEMP Appendix B Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC) and secured by Application Document 7.8 Red Throated Diver Protocol), there have been no other developments carried forward for further assessment.

Table 11.31 Marine Archaeology Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Known and potential palaeogeograp hic features and prehistoric material	All shortlisted projects	The short-listed projects will undergo (or have undergone) EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended, including avoidance, micro-siting, assessment of geophysical and geotechnical survey data, watching briefs and other anomaly investigations, and implementation of a Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries, and therefore any impacts will be negligible. The overall inter-projects cumulative effects are considered to be not significant.	Not Significant
Known and potential maritime and aviation assets	ential projects mitigation measures recommended, including avoidance, micro-siting, ritime and assessment of geophysical and geotechnical survey data, watching briefs		Not Significant
Coastal and intertidal heritage assets (known and potential	All shortlisted projects	The short-listed projects will undergo (or have undergone) EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended, including avoidance, micro-siting, assessment of geophysical and geotechnical survey data, watching briefs and other anomaly investigations, and implementation of a Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries, and therefore any impacts will be negligible.	Not Significant

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
palaeogeograp hic features and prehistoric material, historic terrestrial, marine and aviation features)		The overall inter-projects cumulative effects are considered to be not significant.	
Historic seascape character of the region	All shortlisted projects.	The short-listed projects will undergo (or have undergone) EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended, for instance updating the Historic Seascape Character assessment for the region with the inclusion of additional offshore developments, and therefore any impacts will be negligible. The overall inter-projects cumulative effects are considered to be not significant.	Not Significant

Table 11.32 Shipping and Navigation Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Passing vessels (all categories)	NeuConnect Interconnector GridLink Interconnector North Falls Offshore Windfarm	Proposed Project cable installation vessel activities may coincide with other project vessel cable installation, windfarm installation, or aggregate extraction activities, potentially increasing the risk of vessel collisions.	With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, the significance of effect could be considered minor significance of effect – Not significant .

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	This is of particular relevance within Pegwell Bay which is already an area of shallow and dynamic water depth, and where the NEMO Link and Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables also route and make landfall.	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	However, such an impact would be for a limited time period.	
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm	With additional mitigations including communication plans and protocols, such concurrent activities will be minimised or avoided where practicable, and overall risks reduced, and therefore the potential effect is expected to be minimal.	
Vessels frequently using established routes and areas affected by the Offshore Scheme activities	NeuConnect Interconnector GridLink Interconnector North Falls Offshore Windfarm	Proposed Project cable installation vessel activities may coincide with other project vessel cable installation, windfarm installation, or aggregate extraction activities, potentially causing disruption to vessels using established routes and areas, due to further reduced sea room.	With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, the significance of effect could be considered minor significance of effect – Not significant.
	East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm	This is of particular relevance within Pegwell Bay which is already an area of shallow and dynamic water depth, and where the NEMO Link and Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables also route and make landfall.	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm Hanson Aggregate Marine Ltd Area 528/2 NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm	This is also of particular relevance to the potential crossing with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector in proximity to the Tongue Pilot Boarding Station, and the crossings of NeuConnect, North Falls Offshore Windfarm export cable and Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm export cable, which occur within the Sunk Traffic Separation Scheme. However, such an impact would be for a limited time period. With additional mitigations including communication plans and protocols, such concurrent activities will be minimised or avoided where practicable, and overall risks reduced, and therefore the potential effect is expected to be minimal.	
Anchoring vessels	NeuConnect Interconnector GridLink Interconnector North Falls Offshore Windfarm East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm	Cable crossings between the Proposed Project and other projects will be undertaken using crossing designs and schedules in accordance with established crossings agreements with other cable or windfarm Projects, which will ensure appropriate separation and protective structures are in place. Therefore, this presents only an incremental increase in potential impact to anchoring vessels which is suitably addressed via a risk-based burial approach and crossing design.	Minor significance of effect – Not significant .

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm		
Fishing vessels	NeuConnect Interconnector GridLink Interconnector North Falls Offshore Windfarm East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Cable crossings between the Proposed Project and other projects will be undertaken using crossing designs and schedules in accordance with established crossings agreements with other cable or windfarm Projects, which will ensure appropriate separation and protective structures are in place. Therefore, this presents only an incremental increase in potential risks to fishing gear which is suitably addressed via a risk-based burial approach and crossing design.	Minor significance of effect – Not significant.
Reduction in under-keel clearance, affecting deep draught vessels and passing vessels (all categories)	Interconnector GridLink	Cable crossings between the Proposed Project and other projects will be undertaken using crossing designs in accordance with established crossings agreements with other cable or windfarm Projects, and with the aim of minimising reduction of under-keel clearance at those crossing locations. Detailed design, in collaboration with the other developments, will be optimised to reduce the overall crossing height	With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, the significance of effect could be considered minor – Not significant.

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm	as much as is practicable, while maintaining the safety of the cable crossing.	
	Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm NEMO Link	In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing could potentially reduce under-keel clearance beyond the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested.	
	Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Under-keel clearance is of particular relevance within Pegwell Bay which is already an area of shallow and dynamic water depth, and where the NEMO Link and Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cables also route and make landfall.	
		The Applicant has been engaging with the Port of London Authority on the matter of under-keel clearance. The potential crossings with GridLink and Nautilus Offshore Interconnector would fall within the PLA's "NE Spit area" of Safeguarded Depth, where they require 12.5m to ensure future access to ports for deep draught vessels. The Applicant is proposing to move the planned Sea Link cable route into deeper waters and is therefore able to meet the PLA's requirements at this crossing location. Likewise, the crossing with Nautilus Offshore Interconnector is in sufficiently deep waters that the Applicant is able to meet the PLA's requirements at this crossing location also.	
		With additional mitigations including coordination between projects, cable crossing agreements, and	

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
		communication plans and protocols including provision of as-built locations of the cable and any external protection to the UKHO and KIS-ORCA as well as to ports and harbours and Notice to Mariners, such effects will be minimised or avoided where practicable, and overall risks reduced, and therefore the potential effect is expected to be minimal.	
Vessels navigating with magnetic compass	NeuConnect Interconnector GridLink Interconnector	Vessels navigating with magnetic compass can experience interference from Electro Magnetic Fields (EMF) which may be present along offshore cables.	Minor significance of effect – Not significant.
	North Falls Offshore Windfarm	Minimal EMF effects are expected from the Proposed Project.	
	East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Nautilus Offshore Interconnector Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm NEMO Link Thanet Offshore Windfarm	Cable crossings between the Proposed Project and other cables owners will be undertaken using crossing designs in accordance with established crossings agreements with other cable and windfarm Projects, which will consider potential EMF interactions and cumulative effects in order to minimise them.	

Table 11.33 Commercial Fisheries Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Mobile gear fisheries: dredgers; beam and demersal trawlers; seiners	GridLink Interconnector North Falls Offshore Windfarm East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm Five Estuaries Offshore Windfarm Lionlink NEMO Link	Cable protection will occupy a small proportion of fishing grounds as identified through fisher consultations and supported by vessel sightings records. The design of cable the cable protection implemented by the Proposed Project is design to reduce snagging risk. It is assumed that this will also be the case for other projects. Minor significance of effect – no significant cumulative effect	Minor significance of effect – Not significant.
Static gear fisheries: pots and traps		Should exclusion zones around the cable installation works be implemented at the same time within close proximity and/or within the same fishing grounds, there is potential for the temporary loss of fishing grounds and/or displacement of fishing activity to have a cumulative effect of moderate significance for static gear fisheries receptors, during the construction phase. Additional mitigation measures to address this include: Communication and collaborative timings between developers for both projects Early and regular fisheries engagement Clear compensation agreements for loss, damage and relocation fishing gear Additional care and consideration required in locations approaching landfall, particularly in Pegwell Bay	With the implementation of the recommended additional mitigation measures, the significance of effect could be considered minor – Not significant.
Static gear fisheries: fixed and drift nets	-	The same assessment for pots and traps during the construction phase applies to fixed and drift nets.	With the implementation of the recommended additional mitigation measures, the

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
		However, there is additional potential for drift and fixed netters to experience moderate cumulative effects through displacement during the operation phase.	significance of effect could be considered minor – Not significant.
		This is due to the presence of cable protection still representing some snagging risk for drift net fisheries, despite its design. Unlike mobile gear fisheries, drift netters do to not have the same level control to avoid areas which may present a risk to their gear, which could lead to concentrated effort and increased competition in other fishing grounds.	
		For a single Project this is considered a to have a minor significance of effect. However, as more cables are installed, and the number of crossings, and therefore locations with cable protection increases, the cumulative effect also has the potential to increase.	

Table 11.34 Other Sea Users Assessment of Total Cumulative Effects

Shared receptor	Relevant other developments	Summary of the assessment of cumulative effects with each of the other developments	Overall assessment of cumulative effects
Marine Tourism and Recreation	The potential interactions with the Proposed Project and the short-listed projects present minimal cumulative effects to other sea users. As such none of the short-listed projects are progressed to stage 3 and stage 4 as no significant effects are likely.		
Offshore The potential interactions with the Proposed Project and the short-listed projects progressed effects to other sea users. As such none of the short-listed projects are progressed significant effects are likely.			

11.4 Summary of Total Cumulative Effects

Physical Environment

- Where appropriate, the short-listed projects will undergo/have undergone full environmental impact assessments recommending suitable mitigation measures to remove or reduce impact on marine physical environment receptors.
- Due to other projects overlapping or being in close proximity to the Proposed Project, there is potential for cumulative impacts on marine physical environment receptors. For projects that overlap temporally and spatially, understanding the construction techniques used for each development and ensuring these take into account physical environment receptors is essential. This assessment for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES based on the design information to date.
- The main considerations associated with the cumulative effects between the shortlisted developments are:
 - An increase in water column turbidity/suspended sediment concentration and associated reduction in water quality;
 - disturbance to the seafloor morphology and destruction of seafloor morphological features (i.e. bedforms); and
 - the potential change to the Coraline Crags Ridges surficial sedimentary makeup.
- The overall cumulative assessment of the combined effects of the Proposed Project and other developments concludes there is likely **no significant impact**.

Benthic Ecology

- Due to other projects overlapping or being in close proximity to the Proposed Project, there is potential for direct and indirect cumulative impacts on benthic ecology receptors.
- The impact assessment has determined that effects caused by the cumulative impact the Proposed Project and nearby projects are likely to be highly localised and short-term. For each potential impact, the assessment is considered to be **not significant**. Each project is expected to implement similar mitigation to the Proposed Project in order to avoid or reduce impacts to fish and shellfish receptors. The overall assessment of cumulative effects concluded no significant effects are likely between the Proposed Project and other developments.

Fish and Shellfish Ecology

- Due to other projects overlapping or being in close proximity to the Proposed Project, there is potential for direct and indirect cumulative impacts on fish and shellfish receptors.
- The impact assessment has determined that effects caused by the cumulative impact the Proposed Project and nearby projects are likely to be highly localised and short-term. For each potential impact, the assessment is considered to be **not significant**. Each project is expected to implement similar mitigation to the Proposed Project in order to avoid or reduce impacts to fish and shellfish receptors. The overall assessment

of cumulative effects concluded no significant effects are likely between the Proposed Project and other developments.

Marine Mammals

- Due to other projects overlapping or being in close proximity to the Proposed Project, there is potential for direct and indirect cumulative impacts on marine mammal receptors.
- The impact assessment has determined that effects caused by the cumulative impact the Proposed Project and nearby projects are likely to be highly localised and short-term. For each potential impact, the assessment is considered to be not significant. Each project is expected to implement similar mitigation to the Proposed Project in order to avoid or reduce impacts to marine mammal receptors. The overall assessment of cumulative effects concluded **no significant effects** are likely between the Proposed Project and other developments.

Marine Archaeology

- Due to other projects overlapping or being in close proximity to the Proposed Project, there is potential cumulative impacts on marine archaeological receptors, caused by direct physical impacts such as project-related infrastructure, and changes to sedimentary and hydrographic regimes causing indirect impacts to receptors.
- For projects that overlap, understanding the construction techniques required for 11.4.12 installing both project's cables, methods for their maintenance and the proposal for decommissioning (if known), and ensuring these take into account sub-seabed and seabed receptors (known or otherwise) is essential. This assessment of direct and indirect impacts for the Proposed Project has been included in the ES based on the design information to date. Furthermore, owing to the number of offshore wind developments, interconnectors and aggregate areas, existing or proposed, located off the south and east coasts, a large area of the seabed will have been, or will be, investigated for EIA purposes leading to the discovery of more archaeological receptors that may require further investigation. Further investigation will need to be decided and undertaken in liaison with the Archaeological Curator, Historic England, and the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (National Grid), and could ultimately lead to a significant site being excavated, recovered material and finds being conserved and accessioned to a museum, and finally dissemination of all associated recording and reporting. Dissemination of the archaeological investigations and outcomes for these projects further enhances the current level of knowledge and understanding on a local, regional and national, even international, scale. It is possible that a regional thematic approach to dealing with these types of seabed resources could be initiated.
- Where appropriate though, the short-listed projects have already undergone/will undergo full EIA with suitable mitigation measures recommended and implemented for the duration of the projects to remove or reduce impact on marine archaeological receptors. Typical mitigation measures recommended for marine archaeological receptors include assessment of geophysical and geotechnical survey data, avoidance, micro-siting, watching briefs and other anomaly investigations, and implementation of a Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries. With the implementation of such measures, the overall preliminary assessment of cumulative effects concluded that **no significant effects** are likely between the Proposed Project and other developments.

Shipping and Navigation

- The potential interactions between the Proposed Project and the short-listed projects are considered to present minimal cumulative effects to shipping and navigation. However, it is necessary for the additional mitigation measures to be in place in order to avoid significant cumulative effects for the Proposed Project, especially during the construction and operation phases.
- Pegwell Bay is a region of particular concern, as its waters are shallow and changeable, and two other Project cables also route and make landfall there (NEMO Link and Thanet Offshore Windfarm export cable), presenting particular potential for significant cumulative effects regarding collision risk, disruption to vessels using established routes and areas, and under-keel clearance.
- In a worst-case scenario a cable crossing could potentially reduce under-keel clearance beyond the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant would therefore engage with the MCA, as requested. In a more realistic scenario, the Applicant will be reducing the crossing height as much as is practicable, which would mean meeting or being near to the MCA's 5% requirement. The Applicant is actively engaging with the MCA to agree where any issues might lie. Detailed design, in collaboration with the other developments, will be optimised to reduce the overall crossing height as much as is practicable, while maintaining the safety of the cable crossing. **Application Document 9.74 Shipping and Navigation Under-Keel Clearance Marine Engineering Technical Note**, submitted at Deadline 1A in response to Issue Specific Hearing 1 Action 10, provides further detail on this more realistic scenario for planned cable crossings.
- 11.4.17 Communication plans and protocols, as identified in Application Document 7.5.2

 Offshore Construction Environmental Management Plan and Application

 Document 7.5.3.2 CEMP Appendix B Register of Environmental Actions and

 Commitments (REAC), will minimise cumulative effects through enabling coordination

 of installation activities, and development of appropriate cable crossings agreements

 which ensure suitable protections where necessary and avoid reduction of under-keel
 clearance and EMF effects.
- With implementation of such mitigation measures, and a risk based burial approach, shipping and navigation cumulative effects are therefore considered to be **not likely to be significant**.

Commercial Fisheries

- The potential interactions between the Proposed Project and the short-listed projects are considered to present minimal cumulative effects for mobile gear fisheries. However, there is potential for static gear fisheries (pots and traps; fixed and drift nets) to experience significant cumulative effects during the construction and operation phases of the Proposed Project, if not considered carefully.
- 11.4.20 The key elements which underpin the potential for significant effects to occur comprise:
 - The location and timing of exclusion zones around installation works;
 - the details of the compensation measures for fishers that each project commits to;
 and
 - the cumulative number of locations where cable protection is required.

- The developments listed in Table 11.33 have designs which either cross / overlap the Offshore Marine Scheme, or could make landfall in close proximity / within the same fishing grounds as the Offshore Marine Scheme, and have the potential for overlapping timescales for installation activities or operations. As such, there is potential for the exclusion zones associated with each project's cable installation. This has the potential to increase the magnitude of effect for sensitive fisheries receptors.
- To mitigate against this occurrence, a Fisheries Liaison Officer (FLO) and fisheries working group(s) will be maintained throughout installation to ensure project information is effectively disseminated, dialogue is maintained with the commercial fishing industry and access to home ports is maintained. Additionally, the developer will maintain communications with other developments in the region with regard to respective installation timings and location.
- A procedure for the claim of loss of/or damage to fishing gear will also be developed as part of an evidence-based cooperation agreement between the development and fisheries stakeholders.
- Rock protection will be installed where cable protection is necessary. This will be designed with a 1:3 profile and flat crests, intended to prevent the risk of mobile fishing gears snagging. Post installation surveys of the Offshore Scheme will take place to check the depth of lowering and assess the potential snagging risk posed by the rock protection with regard to bottom drift nets. There will also be ongoing consultation with fishers regarding cable protection design. Additionally, As-built locations of cable and external protection will be supplied to the UK Hydrographic Office (UKHO) (Admiralty) and The Crown Estate and Kingfisher (KIS-ORCA).
- 11.4.25 With the implementation of these mitigation measures, the preliminary assessment of cumulative effects considers there to be **no likely significant cumulative effects** to commercial fisheries receptors.

Other Sea Users

The potential interactions with the Proposed Project and the short-listed projects 11.4.26 present minimal cumulative effects to other sea users. As such none of the short-listed projects are progressed to stage 3 and stage 4. Broadly, the small spatial, temporal, and transient footprint of the construction operation limits interactions and permits straight-forward management or minimisation of any residual interaction potential. The operational phase of the Proposed Project principally interacts with the shortlisted projects through crossing/proximity agreements with third-party subsea cable asset owners and aggregate extraction site rights holders. Crossings will be undertaken using agreed crossing designs and schedules, in accordance with crossing agreements and will ensure suitable protections where necessary. These crossings are included as part of the project design and are not therefore considered as constituting cumulative effects. The proximity of the proposed project to aggregates and mining agreement sites presents a small potential for dredging and mining vessels to interact with the subsea asset however proximity agreements shall be established to manage risks and minimise disruption. The overall preliminary assessment of cumulative effects concluded **no** significant effects are likely between the Proposed Project and other developments.

11.5 Overall summary

The assessment of inter-project cumulative effects for the Proposed Project and shortlisted developments identified no significant effects after the application of mitigation measures for all topics.

11.6 References

- East Anglia Offshore Wind. (2015). East Anglia THREE. Environmental Statement. Chapter 17 Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. Retrieved from https://www.scottishpowerrenewables.com/userfiles/file/6.1.17-Volume-1-Chapter-17-Offshore-Archaeology-and-Cultural-Heritage.pdf [Accessed 19 September 2024]
- JNCC. (2017). JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from geophysical surveys.

 Retrieved from The full guidance document can be downloaded from the JNCC website. The URL is https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/e2a46de5-43d4-43f0-b296-c62134397ce4/jncc-guidelines-seismicsurvey-aug2017-web.pdf
- Planning Inspectorate. (2024). *Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment*. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/guidance/nationally-significant-infrastructure-projects-advice-on-cumulative-effects-assessment
- ScottishPower Renewables. (2019a). East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm, Chapter 16 Marine Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. Retrieved from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010077/EN010077-001248-6.1.16%20EA1N%20Environmental%20Statement%20Chapter%2016%20Marine%20Archaeology%20and
- %20Cultural%20Heritage.pdf [Accessed 19 September 2024]
 ScottishPower Renewables. (2019b). *East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm. Chapter 16 Marine Archaeology and Cultural Heritage*. Retrieved from https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010078/EN010078-001087-
 - 6.1.16%20EA2%20Environmental%20Statement%20Chapter%2016%20Marine%20Archaeology%20and% 20Cultural%20Heritage.pdf [Accessed 19 September 2024]
- The Crown Estate. (2014). *The Crown Estate Open Data*. Retrieved from https://opendata-thecrownestate.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/685a9d9c2ae84870b07047311b7dec56/explore?location =51.464763%2C2.104901%2C8.17 [Accessed 19 September 2024]

Page intentionally blank

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152 nationalgrid.com